Precision Planting

PRECISION TECHNOLOGY INSTITUTE

2018 RESEARCH SUMMARY PONTIAC, IL





Table of Contents:

Year in Review	5-6
Corn Research Trials	
Planting Date Study	7
vSet [®] Seed Singulation Study	8
Planting Depth/Moisture Study	9-10
Day of Emergence Study	11
Keeton [®] Seed Firmer Study	12
At-Plant Film Study	13
CleanSweep [®] Study	14
Seed Trench Residue Study	15
SpeedTube [®] High Speed Planting Study	16
High Speed/Closing Wheel Study	17-18
Closing Wheel Study	19-21
Closing Wheel Pressure Study	22
DeltaForce [®] Study	23-24
vDrive [®] Turn Compensation Study	25-26
Starter Response by Planting Date	27



Corn Research Trials Continued:

Starter Response by Genetics Study			
Starter Response by Organic Matter	29		
Liquid vs. Dry Fertilizer Study	30-31		
FurrowJet [®] Starter Fertilizer Studies			
Marco LTE Starter Study	32		
AgroLiquid Starter Study	33		
Nachurs Starter Study	34		
Conklin Starter Study	35		
10-34-0 Starter Study	36		
Helena Nucleus HP/O-Phos Starter Studies	37-38		
Concept Ag Starter Study	39		
Levesol [™] Zinc Starter Study	40		
Paralign [™] Starter Study	41		
Manticor [™] LFR [®] Insecticide Study	42		
Xanthion [®] Fungicide Study	43		
TopGuard Terra [®] Fungicide Study	44		
Ethos™ XB Fungicide/Insecticide Study	45		
Capture [®] LFR [®] Insecticide Study	46		



Corn Research Trials Continued:

Temitry [™] LFR [®] Insecticide/Fungicide Study	47		
SabrEx™/Excellorate™ Biological Study	48		
FurrowJet [®] Product Summary	49		
Starter Fertilizer Relay Study	50		
FurrowJet [®] Side-Wall Study	51		
Phosphorous Placement Study	52		
pH Acidity Study	53-54		
Conceal [®] Single Application N Study	55		
Conceal [®] Nitrogen Rate/Placement Study	56-59		
Nitrogen Sealer Study	60		
Leaf Orientation Study	61		
Irrigation Study	62		
mSet [®] Multi-Hybrid Planting Study	63-64		
Ear Flex/Seeding Rate Study			
Strip-Till Freshener Study			
Chopping Corn Head Study	68		
Yetter Devastator™ Corn Head Study			
Corn Tillage Study	70-71		



Corn Research Trials Continued:

Finish Line Sweep™ Study	72-73
Yield by Organic Matter Study	74-76
Pre-Harvest Yield Check Study	77
Genetic Test Plot	78
Soybean Research Trials	
Soybean Tillage Study	79-80
Closing Wheel Study	81-83
Fertility Study	84-85
pH Acidity Study	86-87
Planting Date Study	88
At-Plant Film Study	89
Starter Fertilizer by Planting Date	90
SoyShot [™] FurrowJet [®] Starter Study	91
NutriStart [™] FurrowJet [®] Starter Study	92
3-18-18 FurrowJet [®] Study	93
Nachurs Soybean Fertilizer Study	94
Conceal™ 14-12-4-6S Study	95
Chopping Head Study	96
Wrap Up	97
Acknowledgements and Legal Statement	98

2018 in Review

In 2018 the Precision Technology Institute (PTI) in Pontiac, IL was created. We realize that doing large scale research on your own farm is hard, so we dedicated over 200 acres to agronomy trials. These plots range from fertility to planting date to speed and more. And all of them are contained within the 99 pages of this book.

The PTI farm experience offers a unique opportunity to get in the field with our team and examine the difference between correct and incorrect planter settings and see trials up close with agronomist, Jason Webster. It's also the only place you can truly test drive the newest planter technology. Operate products that can't even be purchased yet as you take over the steering wheel in our 20-acre Ride N Drive area.

The spring weather was warmer and drier than normal and allowed for some early plantings. The first planting date of soybeans occurred on March 22 in some really nice planting conditions. Those conditions quickly deteriorated, as 13" of snow fell the next day. You will definitely want to check out our 2018 Soybean Planting Date Study to see the how these early-planted trials turned out! The majority of planting occurred beginning the last week of April and quickly finished with some dry weather that allowed many consecutive planting days.

Once the crop was planted, June turned out to be one of the first challenges in 2018. Two large rainfall events in June, 10 days apart from each other, wreaked havoc on the PTI farm with saturated soils, anaerobic field conditions, and nitrogen/sulfur losses. Ironically, once things dried out, the PTI then suffered 8 weeks of drought in July and August with no significant rainfall. On a positive note, somewhat cool temperatures prevailed throughout the dry weather to help alleviate crop stress.

In the end, corn yields varied from 133 – 285 Bu/A., averaging near 210 Bu/A.. Soybeans ranged from to 45 - 84 Bu/A. with averages near 60 Bu/A. Irrigation was so important at the PTI farm, with corn yield advantages from watering at 60-100 Bu/A.













2018 in Review Continued:

Precision Planting is excited to share our inaugural PTI Pontiac research farm results and findings. We know that these results will provide useful insights that help drive thoughtful consideration around future crop management decisions. This publication is intended to summarize and explain the many agronomic trials that were implemented in 2018.

In most all trials, both agronomic yield and economics are detailed to help understand return on investment. At the bottom of each trial summary page, a brief explanation is listed to show Planting Date, Hybrid or Variety, Population, Row Width, Crop Rotation, and Commodity Price/Bu. and Pricing information that pertains to the products being evaluated (Figure 1). For starter fertilizer trials, most have a \$30 re-allocation credit applied to each product in testing. This approach allows us to use the total intended fertility needed for soil test build-up and yield maintenance, but allows the planned use of both dry and liquid products without spending or over-applying more nutrients than needed. To accomplish this, we reduce our dry fertilizer rates of DAP (18-46-0) by \$30/A. to account for the re-allocation.





Corn Planting Date Study

Objective: To evaluate various corn planting dates throughout the spring planting season to determine the optimum planting date that offered highest yield and return on investment. Once optimum planting date is discovered, economics can then be analyzed to determine yield loss and cost/acre when planting dates were not implemented within the optimum planting window.

Figure 2.



Results: The optimum planting window for corn at the Precision Technology Institue occurred during the week of April 25th. Corn planted during this week achieved the highest yields of our planting date study at 217.3 Bu/A. (Table 1). Planting earlier during the week of April 13th resulted in yield losses near 22 Bu/A. due to colder and wetter seedbed conditions. After the optimum plant date of April 25th, yields suffered an average yield loss of 21.2 Bu/A. per week over the next three weeks of plantings. These weekly yield decreases equated to average losses of -\$74.30/A. as a result of missing the optimum planting window (Table 2). The May 17th planting date resulted in the lowest overall yield with over 30 Bu/A. losses and consequently diminished returns of -\$111.76/A.



vSet® Seed Singulation Study

Objective: To evaluate how improper seed singulation affects corn yield. Modified vSet[™] seed plates with plugged and extra holes were used in order to create doubles and skips. These goof plates created an average of 95% singulation accuracy vs. the control at 99.5%.

Extra Holes = Doubles



Results: 95% seed singulation resulted in yield losses of -10.4 **Bu/A.** with economic losses of -\$36.49/A. based on a corn commodity price of \$3.50/Bu. In general, this equates to -2.1 **Bu/A.** for each percentage of singulation lost.

Plugged Holes =Skips



Planting Date: 5/16

Hybrid: Pioneer 1366AMXT

Population: 34K

n: 34K Row Width: 30"

30" Rotation: CAB

n: CAB Corn Price: \$3.50







Figure 1. SmartFirmer®

Planting Depth/SmartFirmer Furrow Moisture Study:

Objective: To evaluate the correlation of corn yield by planting depth and furrow moisture levels, sensed and recorded by SmartFirmer[®] (Figure 1).

Soil moisture is a critical component for seed germination and uniform plant emergence, and ultimately crop yield. SmartFirmer gives row-by-row visibility to soil moisture in the seed furrow, allowing farmers to choose the right planting depth as soil conditions change.

Results: Table 1. illustrates that the average optimum planting depth occurred at the 2" depth setting. As planting depth was decreased, yields fell by -3.7 to -7.8 Bu/A. Similiarly, as planting depth was increased above 2", yields fell by -6.9 to -15.3 Bu/A.

Table 2 depicts the economic losses associated from incorrect planting depths, averaging -\$12.95 to -\$53.55/A. losses. This data helps to stress the importance of correct planting depth and how quickly yield and economic loss can occur if not implemented properly.







Planting Depth/SmartFirmer Furrow Moisture Study Continued:

Table 3. depicts the relationship of furrow moisture to planting depth. As soils become dry and furrow moisture decreases, planting depths need to be increased to ensure planting into moisture. Conversley, planting too deep into furrows with sufficient mositure could present resistance to emergence in some soil types and textures.

Table 4. shows the same yield and optimum planting depth data from Table 1. above, however adds the furrow moisture values from



SmartFirmer at planting. In this particular study, soil moistures levels never fell below 36% furrow moisture.

Precision Planting's early research currently indicates that soil moisture levels near 30% should provide adequate moisture for seed imbibition and emergence. Values below 30% may determine the need to implement deeper planting depths to ensure higher moisture values. Furrow moisture values over 30% should not need deeper planting depths and could in fact cause emergence resistance. That's exactly what happened in this particular study, as planting deeper than the optimum yield depth of 2" actually caused yield losses. More research needs to be completed to fully understand the relationship of furrow moisture and planting depth, however we are beginning to shine a flashlight of how we might sense, understand, and react to varying soil moisture conditions across a field to optimize stand establishment.



Blue line is representative of furrow moisture.



Day of Emergence Study

Objective: This study evaluates the impact of yield loss when corn plants emerge from the soil surface at an inconsistent basis. Flag testing implementation (Figure 1) was used to monitor

the emergence timing of young plants. As corn first started to emerge from the soil surface, flags were placed at four different timings to identify the emergence timing of all plants within the study.

Protocol:

Red Flags = 1st Initial Plants to Emerge

Yellow Flags = Plants that emerged 18-28 hours later

White Flags = Plants that emerged 29-42 hours later



Figure 1.

No Flag = Plants that emerged >42 hours later



Results: Manual ear checks were completed to calculate potential yield loss from late emerging plants. Figure 2. illustrates the ear size of the first emerging plants (with-in 18 hrs), while Figure 3. represents ear sizes of plants that emerged 42 hours or later of the first initial emergers. Upon ear weight calculations at harvest, Table 1. summarizes the effects of yield loss at emergence is delayed by each timing category. Due to droughty conditions this year, late emergers suffered up to 50% yield loss. Plants that emerged just 18-28 hrs late suffered 20% yield loss and 29-42 hr late emergers 25%.



Table 1.





Keeton® Seed Firmer Study

Objective: This study evaluates the benefits of Keeton Seed Firmers. Seed doesn't always land right in the bottom of the trench where they belong. With its unique, in-the-trench design, the Keeton Seed Firmer gently firms those seeds to the bottom of the V-trench (Figure 1). The end result is even depth, correct seed-to-soil contact, and most importantly uniform germination.



Results: The absence of seed firmers resulted in yield losses of -2.2 Bu/A. (Table 1.), with gross returns of -\$7.70/A. At a cost of \$35/row for Keeton seed firmers and quick attach brackets for a 16-row planter, corn prices at \$3.50, break-even occurs at only 73 acres.





At-Plant Film Study

Objective: This study evaluates the use of an at-plant 90day biodegradable film designed to create a greenhouse effect to warm soils and preserve moisture. Film was laid directly over top of a planted row and has slits at 3inch intervals directly above the seed placement. This film traps heat from the sun, raises soil temperatures, thus increasing heat units. At the same time, the film locks moisture underneath it, preserving that water for plant uptake throughout the growing season.

Results: The at-plant biodegradable film worked excellent on our early April 13th planting dates. Still having cold soil temperatures below 50 degrees, the film was utilized to help insulate and warm the soil surface. In fact, after only two hours after planting it was common to see soil temperatures near 7 degrees warmer due to the film's warming effect.

As for yield, the at-plant film increased yield by an average of 15.6 Bu/A., however there was a stark contrast in response to seeding rate. Three seeding rates were replicated and evaluated at 34K, 36K, and 38K populations. The results proved that the higher the seeding rate, the higher the yield response from the atplant film due to 7 weeks of drought conditions in July and August. The highest seeding rate of 38K offered the highest yield gains of +21.9 Bu/A. with additional gross revenue of +\$76.76/A. As seeding rates decreased to



Figure 1. Norseman Techni-Plant FL Film Planter



Figure 2. Close-Up View of Film After Planting

36K and 34K, yield response decreased by roughly 30% at each seeding rate. Therefore, at-plant film yield response decreased to +15.5 and +9.3 Bu/A. respectively, with additional gross returns of +\$54.14 and +\$32.42/A.



Table 1

Concerning return on investment, Norseman Techni-Plant FL states that the cost for the 90 day biodegradable film is estimated at \$100/A. With this cost, breakeven yield would occur at 28.6 Bu/A., indicating that all treatments fell short of profitability.

We look forward to testing this interesting technology and finding ways to protect and improve corn yields in the future. Special thanks to Michael Freeman for supplying the use of the film planter for Precision Planting agronomic research.

Planting Date: 4/13 Hybrid: Pioneer 1197AMXT Population: 34-38K Row Width: 30" Rotation: CAC Corn Price: \$3.50

CleanSweep[®] Residue Management Study

Objective: This study evaluates the benefits of planter row cleaners equipped with CleanSweep. Residue management has become a necessary part of today's operation to maximize profitability. Tougher stalks and more corn-on-corn acres mean a heavier load of residue that needs to be managed. Residue in the seed trench competes with seedlings for moisture and harbors disease. CleanSweep will put row cleaners right where they need to be, moving residue but not the soil. Continuous adjustments can be made as field conditions change with the cab-mounted controller to easily lift or make more aggressive adjustments.

Results: The absence of row cleaners resulted in vield losses of -3.3 Bu/A. and proved economic losses of -\$11.50/A. compared to row cleaners with CleanSweep set at 25 PSI of lift. Floating row cleaners also resulted in -3.8 Bu/A. yield losses and similar net losses of -\$13.32/A.



Table 2: Economic Return









Planting Date: 5/16

242

241

239 238

237

236

Yield / Acre 240

Hybrid: Pioneer 1366AMXT

Population: 34K



Seed Trench Residue Management Study

Objective: This study evaluates the impact of plant residue in the seed trench at planting (Figure 1). Old plant residue in the seed furrow can rob moisture away from the seed, cause air pockets, and create a lower percentage of seed-to-soil-contact. All these factors can delay germination and impact corn yield. This study attempts to quantify corn yield loss from varying percentages of residue on the seed at planting.

To create a controlled environment, manual infestations of corn residue were placed directly on corn seed in the furrow at percentages from 100% to 64% clean furrows.



Figure 1

Results: Table 1 illustrates the strong relationship of yield response to residue in the furrow. For every 1% loss in clean furrow, corn yield decreased by **-1.1 Bu/A**. Corn yields ranged from 210 to 240 Bu/A., indicating losses up to 30 Bu/A. as a result of high amounts of residue in the furrow. It should be noted that this controlled study only applies residue directly on the seed. No other residue is distributed between the seed or elsewhere in the furrow. In typical field settings, residue would be more than likely be distributed throughout the seed furrow, thus increasing the total amount of residue and consequently causing a higher degree of corn yield loss. *Table 1.*



Planting Date: 5/16 Hybrid: Pioneer 1366AMXT Population: 36K Row Width: 30" Rotation: CAC Corn Price: \$3.50



Corn High Speed Planting Study

Objective: To evaluate yield response of planting speeds of 4, 6, 8, 10, and 12 MPH with SpeedTube[®]. This high-speed planting technology takes the place of conventional seed tubes and consists rather of a flighted belt that takes gravity out of the equation. By hand delivering each seed to the furrow, there is no opportunity for seeds to ricochet into the trench. Even at twice normal planting speeds, seed arrives safely at the bottom of the trench, spaced evenly, every time. All entries in this study utilize SpeedTube technology.



Results: Using SpeedTube technology, highest corn yields occurred at the 6, 8, and 10 mph planting speeds. In fact, there was only a 1.1 - 1.4 Bu/A. difference between all three of these planting speeds. With traditional planting speeds typically near 5 mph, this data would suggest that growers could plant twice as fast with SpeedTube technology without sacrificing planter performance.





High Speed Planting/Closing Wheel Study

Objective: To evaluate how high speed planting impacts the performance of closing wheels. Closing wheels are designed to close the seed trench, eliminate sidewall compaction/smearing, remove air pockets, all at the same time achieving good seed-to-soil contact. When planting at speeds of 8-10 mph, can growers still use "spike-type" closing wheels without causing seed placement issues from fingers grabbing seed or from excess soil movement? This study evaluates four distinct types of closing wheel systems at planting speeds of 4, 6, 8, 10, and 12 mph.



Dual Smooth Rubber Closing System:

Advantages:Pack and seal type system. Moisture saving.Disadvantages:In wet soils, can't shatter side-wall smearHard to close trench in reduced till or wet soils



Dual Poly Twister[™] Spike Closing System:

Advantages: Lifts and fractures sidewall compaction/smear Center ring acts as depth maintainer

Disadvantages: Potential plugging



Single Rubber/Yetter Poly Twister Spike Closing System:

Combination of above two systems for variable soils

Dual Martin Dimple[™] Spike Closing System:



Advantages:	Lifts and fractures sidewall compaction/smear
	Versatile heavy wheel, great for reduced tillage
	Depth Maintaining
Disadvantages:	Extra weight can be aggressive



Results: As we think of closing wheel systems being too aggressive and potentially moving seed at high planting speeds, we used the dual smooth rubber closing system as our control in this study. Since the smooth rubber system has no spike action and should not have the ability to misplace seed, all spike type systems were compared at 4, 6, 8, 10, and 12 mph planting speeds.

At 6, 8, and 10 mph each spike type closing system actually out-yielded the dual smooth rubber closing system by 0.8 – 8.4 Bu/A. This yield increase would suggest that spike type systems did not misplace seed and cause issues from high speed planting. At the slow speed of 4 mph and the fastest speed at 12 mph, all systems appeared to perform very similarly. The data below would suggest that all the spike-type closing systems performed very well, but the Rubber/Spike combination did obtain the highest yield advantages. However, the clear focus in this study was not to compare the individual systems competitively, but to see if spike-type closing wheels have the potential to misplace seed in a high-speed planting system.





Continuous Corn Closing Wheel Study

Objective: To evaluate the performance of closing wheels in four different tillage systems. Closing wheels are designed to close the seed trench, eliminate sidewall compaction/smearing, remove air pockets, all at the same time achieving good seed-to-soil contact. This study evaluates four distinct types of closing wheel systems in a conventional, strip, vertical, and no-till continuous corn rotation.



Dual Martin Dimple[™] Spike Closing System:

Advantages:Pack and seal type system. Moisture saving.Disadvantages:In wet soils, can't shatter side-wall smearHard to close trench in reduced till or wet soils



Dual Smooth Rubber Closing System:

Advantages:	Lifts and fractures sidewall compaction/smear
	Center ring acts as depth maintainer

Disadvantages: Potential plugging



Dual Poly Twister[™] Spike Closing System:

Combination of above two systems for variable soils



Single Rubber/Yetter Poly Twister Spike Closing System:

Advantages: Lifts and fractures sidewall compaction/smear Versatile heavy wheel, great for reduced tillage Depth Maintaining

Disadvantages: Extra weight can be aggressive



Conventional Tillage Results:

Conventional tillage consisted of a disc ripper in the fall, followed by a soil finisher in the spring before planting. Yields within this tillage system only ranged within 1.1 Bu/A. over all the four different closing systems (Table 1). In general, conventional tillage offers an easier environment for closing wheels to perform making selections of closing systems less critical.



Strip-Till Results:

Strips were created after harvest with a shank/knife type system directly between the existing rows. Similar to conventional tillage, the difference between all four closing systems only varied by 3.0 Bu/A., with the dual rubber system offering the lowest yields of all closing systems.

Vertical Tillage Results:

Vertical tillage in the fall after harvest was designed to lightly till, size residue, and anchor a portion of the residue into the upper few inches of soil. In this reduced tillage system, the performance of closing systems started to become more variable . Table 3. reveals that dual rubber closers suffered -5.5 to -6.6 Bu/A. losses compared to more aggressive "spike" type systems such as the dual Yetter Twisters and the Martin dual dimples.











No- Till Results:

Planting directly into the center between last year's corn rows in a notill environment showed the biggest differences in closing system performance. Dual rubber closers suffered large losses with -10.6 to -11.4 Bu/A. yields, compared to more aggressive "spike" type closers such as the dual Yetter Twisters or Martin dual dimples (Table 4).



Table 4.

Adding a single spike to a rubber wheel (Rubber/Yetter Combo) improved

yields by +4.5 Bu/A., however still

under-performed in closing by **-6.1 to -7.9 Bu/A.** in comparison to the more aggressive "spike" type closers.

The aggressive "spikes" offered the best performance in the no-till system, however with only 0.8 Bu/A. differences between the two closing systems.

Table 5. highlights the average yield and returns for each closing system over all four tillage systems compared to dual rubber closers. All other three closing systems offered +2.2 to +5.0 Bu/A. average yield gains with returns ranging from +\$2.75 to +\$17.50/A.



Planting Date: 5/5 Hybrid: Wyffels 7696VTPro Population: 36K Row Width: 30" Rotation: CAC Corn Price: \$3.50



Closing Wheel Pressure Study

Objective: To evaluate seed to soil contact aggressiveness with planter closing wheel pressure settings. In this study, we varied the spring pressure on dual Yetter Twister closing wheels from the least to most aggressive settings.

Results: This study proves that closing wheel performance can cause severe yield penalties if not set correctly. Table 1. illustrates that Notch 2 offered the optimum closing position and out-yielded Notch 0 (least aggressive) by 26.2 Bu/A. with additional revenue of over \$91/A. (Table 2)





22



DownForce® Study:

Objective: Planter row unit downforce is a common agronomic issue that often goes unaddressed. This study evaluates yield impact of implementing proper downforce compared to too light or too heavy row unit settings. When downforce matches field conditions, depth of planting is consistent and correct. Too light of row unit downforce causes planting depth to shallow up, potentially placing seed in dry soil, creating poorly rooted plants that struggle for water and nutrients. Conversley, too much downforce cause and side-wall compaction also creating an environment that can cause plants to struggle to access water and nutrients.

DeltaForce replaces the springs or air bags on your planter with hydraulic cylinders (Figure 1). It automatically increases or reduces weight on each row individually. When one row encounters conditions different than another (wheel tracks, old road beds, clay knobs, headlands), each will adjust independently (Figure 2). Row by row, foot by foot, depth stays exactly where you want it without creating compaction. Row by row, foot by foot, even seed by seed, you produce an environment that fosters uniform germination, optimum growth and maximum yield. Figure 1



Figure 2.





DownForce Study Continued:

Results: Table 1. illustrates the yield response of DeltaForce automated control compared to excess and too light downforce settings. Too light of downforce resulted in the largest losses of the study with yield losses of -12.8 Bu/A., while excess downforce offered losses of -4.8 Bu/A.

Table 2. reveals the economics of the automated downforce system. DeltaForce automated downforce resulted in increased revenue of +\$16.87/A. compared to heavy settings and +\$44.95/A. to the light setting, ultimatley averaging overall gains of +\$30.91/A.



gauge wheels.

Table 1.



Planting Date: 5/16

Hybrid:Pioneer 1366AMXT

Population: 36K

Row Width: 30"

Rotation:CAC Corn Price: \$3.50

Turn Compensation Study:

Objective: To evaluate the impact of corn yield when planting around curves without turn compensation. Turn compensation is planter technology that uses electric motors to control seeding rate on an individual row basis. Standard drives do not allow individual rows from the outside row to the inside row of the planter, to adjust around curves. With vDrive[®] (Figure 1), each row adjusts independently, for accurate populations on curves.



Figure 1. vDrive















Turn Compensation Study Continued:

Results: Figures 2. illustrates final seeding rates when using vDrive to control population on an individual row basis. The population of 36,000 seeds/A. set on the 20|20, delivered an average of 36,300 seeding rates across all rows of the planter while planting through the curve (Figure 1). The consistent seeding rates equated to only +1% seeding rate variance. However, when turn compensation was turned off, the inside row of the curve (Row 1) delivered seeding rates of 74,000 seeds/A., a +208% increase of desired planting rate. The outside row (Row 16) planted at rates of 24,000 seeds/A., a -33% reduction of desired planting rate.

Figures 5-6. tabulate the yield results and reveal that when turn compensation was implemented, row to row yields only varied by 3.5 Bu/A. Conversley, when turn compensation was turned off, the inside row suffered yield losses of -63.8 Bu/A. by overplanting, while the outside row resulted in yield losses of -24.4 Bu/A. by underplanting. These yield losses equated to economic losses of -\$40.55 to -\$369.48/A.

















Corn Starter Fertilizer Response by Planting Date Study:

Objective: To monitor the performance of starter fertilizer at various planting dates. When does starter fertilizer give the highest returns? Does starter fertilizer respond differently at earlier planted dates versus later? In this study we evaluate five planting dates consisting of April 13th, April 25th, May 6th, May 11th, and May 17th and how a Marco fertilizer 6-20-4-.25Z-2.7S applied via FurrowJet in a triband application at 10 gallons/acre responds throughout the planting season.



Results: Starter fertilizer response garnered the highest yield during the two early April corn planting dates. Both April planting dates saw starter advantages of 14.9 – 15.9 Bu/A. with a return on investment near \$20/A. (Tables 1-2)

As corn planting dates were made later in the planting season in May, yield response fell to less than 5 Bu/A. and resulted in average economic losses just over \$24/A.







Starter Fertilizer Response by Genetic Study

Objective: To evaluate the individual response of various corn genetics to starter fertilizer. Table 1. displays the overall hybrids throughout our study in 2018. Table 2. illustrates the starter fertilizer products applied as part of the program, as well as total use rates and cost/A.

Table1, Starter Program

Table1. Starter Prog	ram	Table 2. Hybrids Used
Conceal Dual Band [.]	20 Gal/A 32% HAN	LG62C02SS
	LG5650SS	
	8 Gal/A Ammonium Thiosulfate	Wyffels 7888SS
		Pioneer 1366AMXT
	2 Qt/A Boron + 2 Qt/Zn	Wyffels 7578SS
Furrow let Center	Aoz Stimulate, 5 Gal InVigoron	Wyffels 7696VT2P
<u>r unowset senter</u> :		Wyffels 7976VT2P
FurrowJet Wings:	10 Gal/A 6-20-425Zn-2.7S	AgriGold 642-59STX
		Channel 215-75VT2P
Total Cost of Program = \$47/14/A. or 13.5 Bushel Break-Even Yield		FS 63-ZX1SS
		Channel 213-19VT2P

Results: Table 3. illustrates twenty nine (81%) of the 36 total corn hybrids resulted in agronomic yield gain from starter fertilizer, leaving seven (19%) hybrids proving no positive yield response. Twelve (33%) of the hybrids exhibited enough significant yield gain to achieve a positive return on investment.



Hefty 6714SS AgriGold 6499STX Stone 6458SS Hefty 6104SS AgriGold 6579STX DKC 63-21SS FS 64SX-1SS AgriGold 639-40VT2RIB LG5643SS LG5606SS Stone 6288SS DKC 62-52SS AgriGold 641-78 Pioneer 0825AMXT Channel 210-79DGVT2P DKC 64-34SS FS 60LX-1SS Channel 209-15VT2P AgriGold 640-77STX Pioneer 1017AMXT DKC 60-87SS Pioneer 1093AMXT FS 57ZX-1SS Hefty 6612VT2P Hefty 5812VT2P Pioneer 0157AMXT

Planting Date: 5/5

Population: 34K

Hybrid: Multiple

Row Width: 30"

Rotation: CAS

Corn Price: \$3.50

Starter Fertilizer Response by Organic Matter Study

Objective: To evaluate the correlation of starter fertilizer yield response by organic matter (OM) levels, sensed and recorded by SmartFirmer® (Figure 1).

Nachurs Rhyzo-Link® is a 100% ortho-phosphate 3-10-13-1S-.1Zn with PowerBlend[™] biological technogy (Figure 3) that was applied at rates of 2 to 6 gallons/acre applied as an in-furrow treatment in spatial management zones that consist of both high and low organic matter levels (Figure 2). *Figure 2.*



Results: Table 1. Illustrates that the lower OM soils proved higher yield responses to a liquid starter fertilizer compared to higher OM soils. Higher OM soils resulted in minimal yield gains of 2-4 Bu/A., however lower OM soils responded with yield gains ranging from +2.9 to +10.5 Bu/A.

Low OM soils reached agronomic optimum rate at 3 Gal/A. and responded with yield gains of +7.0 Bu/A. compared to high OM soils respectively.

Starter Fertilizer Response by Organic Matter: RL 3-10-13 12.0 +7.0 Bu Starter Yield Response / Acre 10.0 +4.7 Bu 8.0 +4.2 Bu +2.0 Bu 6.0 Lower OM Soils: 1.8 to 2.5% Higher OM Soils: 2.6 to 3.8% 4.0 +0.6 Bu +0 9 Bu 2.0 0.0 1.0 Gal/A 1.5 Gal/A 2 Gal/A 2.5 Gal/A 3 Gal/A 3.5 Gal/A Rate / Acre



3-10-13-15-.1Zn Liquid Fertilizer Nutrients Supplied (nounds ner callon)

(hearing her Surren)	
Total Nitrogen (N)	0.32
Available Phosphate (P2O5)	1.07
Soluble Potash (K ² O)	1.39
Sulfur (S)	0.107
Zinc (Zn)	0.011

Derived from: urea, ammonium hydroxide, ammonium thiosulfate, phosphoric acid, potassium hydroxide, potassium acetate and zinc EDTA.

NON-PLANT FOOD INC	PEDIENTS.
This Shan, Link® and urt	contains the
following colony forming	units (cfu)
4 010 000 cfu/mil	liliter
15 179 454 000 cfu	/gallon
	Burren
	1x10 ⁺ cfu/m
Bacillus subtilis	1-105 etc. (m)
Bacillus subtilis Bacillus methylotrophicus	TXTD. CIR/III
Bacillus subtilis Bacillus methylotrophicus Bacillus amyloliquefaciens	1x10° cfu/m 1x10° cfu/m
Bacillus subtilis Bacillus methylotrophicus Bacillus amyloliquefaciens Bacillus megaterium	1x10° cfu/m 1x10° cfu/m 1x10° cfu/m

Planting Date:April 28

Hybrid:Wyffels 7696VT2Pro Population: 34K

Row Width: 30" Rotation:CAS

Soil Type: Elliot, Ashkum Silty Clay Loam



re: Table 1.



Starter Fertilizer Placement Study

Objective: To evaluate the yield and economic impact of a traditional dry fertilizer program compared to a Nachurs all liquid fertilizer program and its individualized treatment performance.

Table 1 Control	100% Dry Fertilizer Program
	100% Dry i cruitzer i rogiani

Product	Description	Application	Rate	Timing
18-46-0	Diammonium Phosphate	Broadcast	185#	Fall
0-0-60	Muriate of Potash	Broadcast	205#	Fall

Table 2. Nachurs 100% Liquid Fertilizer Program

Product	Description	Application	Rate	Timing
InVigoron™	10-18-4	In-Furrow	7 Gal	Plant
K-Fuel™	0-0-24	In-Furrow	1 Gal	Plant
Calcium	3% EDTA	In-Furrow	1 Pt	Plant
10-34-0	75% Polyphosphate	2X2	5 Gal	Plant
Rhyzo-Link™	0-0-15	2X2	1 Gal	Plant
CropMax®	2-0-21B15Cu3Fe- 1.5Mn005Mo-4Zn	2X2	1 Qt	Plant
K-Fuse®	6-1-12-12S	Side-Dress	4 Gal	V4
Boron	10% EDTA Boron	Side-Dress	1 Pt	V4
CropMax®	2-0-2-1B15Cu3Fe- 1.5Mn005Mo-4Zn	Side-Dress	1 Qt	V4
Finish Line®	8-4-61B2Cu-1Mn-1Zn	Foliar	1Qt	V4
K-Fuel™	0-0-24	Foliar	1 Gal	V10
InVigoron™	10-18-4	Foliar	1 Gal	V10
K-Fuel™	0-0-24	Foliar	2 Gal	Tassel
Headline Amp®	Fungicide	Foliar	10oz	Tassel
Triple Option®	4-13-17-1S	Foliar	1 Gal	R2
Boron	10% EDTA Boron	Foliar	1 Pt	R2



Results: The Nachur's liquid fertilizer program used in this study out-yielded the dry fertilizer program by +24.8 Bu/A. (Table 1), most likely due to the multiple placements, applications, high concentrated banding and the efficiency associated with it. Even with this large yield advantage, the liquid fertilizer program was only able to return an additional +\$4.81/A. over the dry fertilizer program due to higher fertilizer cost (Table 2). All liquid treatments totaled an additional +\$81.99/A over the dry fertilizer program.

Table 3. Illustrates the individual treatment performance of all liquid products. All products recorded positive yield gains, however the in-furrow and 2X2 treatments offered the highest response at +12.6 and +5.2 Bu/A. All remaining treatments generated yield responses ranging from +3.7 to +1.3 Bu/A.



Table 3.





Marco QuickGrow[™] LTE FurrowJet[®] Study

Objective: To evaluate the yield and net return of Marco Fertilizer's QuickGrow LTE 6-20-4-.25Zn-2.7S liquid starter fertilizer. Five different rates were used in a tri-band FurrowJet application at planting. QuickGrow LTE is a 70% polyphosphate and 30% orthophosphate formulation of nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium, sulfur, and EDTA Zn.

Results: 100% of FurrowJet treatments of QuickGrow LTE proved positive yield increases. Rates from 4-12 gal/A. averaged 9.1 Bu/A. 8 gallons provided the largest yield increase of +11.1 Bu/A.



In regard to return on investment, the economic optimum rate in this study proved to be 8 gal/A., netting an additional \$11.53/A. over the untreated control. Rates at 4-8 gal/A. all proved profitable, however rates applied at the higher spectrum of 10-12 gal/A. did not achieve enough yield gains to pay for the cost of fertilizer. These rates proved negative returns of -\$1.52 and \$6.49/A respectively.







Corn Price: \$3.50

Fertilizer Pricing: Marco LTE 6-20-4-.25Zn-2.7S \$3.40/Gal \$30 DAP Reallocation



AgroLiquid Starter Fertilizer FurrowJet® Study

Objective: To evaluate the yield and net return of a blend of AgroLiquid starter fertilizers (Table 1). The following products are used in this in-furrow study as a single at-plant application:



Table T.		
Product		Application
2 Gal Pro-Germina	tor [®] 9-24-3	FurrowJet
6 Gal Kalibrate™	2-1-6	FurrowJet
3 Qt Micro 500™ .02	2B25Cu37Fe-1.2Mn-1.	8Zn FurrowJet
1 Qt C-Tech® ну	ydrophobic Fulvic Acid	FurrowJet
2 Gal accesS [®] 7-0-0	-17S25Fe05Mn05Zn	Conceal
2pt Boron		Conceal

Results: The 75% rate achieved agronomic optimum yield at 240.3 Bu/A., 11.4 Bu/A. over the untreated control. In general, all applied rates offered positive yield response but yield rose to the 75% rate and then decreased as rates were applied up to 100% and 125% rates (Table2).

Economic optimum rate was achieved at the 25% rate with a net return of +\$0.63/A. Each rate from 50%-125% suffered economic losses ranging from -\$2.66 to -\$66.46/A. (Table 3).







Planting Date: 5/10 Hybrid: DKC 63-21SS Population: 36K Row Width: 30" Rotation: CAB Corn Price: \$3.50

Fertilizer Pricing: \$30/A DAP Re-allocation Pro-Germinator \$5.80/Gal, Kalibrate \$5.70/Gal, Micro500 \$8.25/Gal, C-Tech \$24/Gal, AccesS \$4.40/Gal



Nachurs impulse® Starter Fertilizer FurrowJet Study

Objective: To evaluate the yield and net return of Nachur's imPulse liquid starter fertilizer (Table 1) applied at two to six gallons per acre applied through a 3-way FurrowJet[®] band. 2 gal/A. of ammonium thiosulfate and 1 Qt/A. of Cropmax[®] (Table 2.) were tankmixed and applied at the base rate of 4 gal/A.

Results: All rates of 10-18-4 achieved positive yield and economic response, however 2 gal/A. proved economic optimum rate with a yield gain of 8.3 Bu/A. with a net return of +\$18.47/A.

2018 Nachurs imPulse 10-18-4 FurrowJet Trial:Yield 230 +12.1 Bu/A +11.5 Bu/A +9.6 Bu/A +9.5 Bu/A 225 +8.3 Bu/A Yield Response/Acre 512 510 213.7 205 200 Control 2 Gal/A 3 Gal/A 4 Gal/A 5 Gal/A 6 Gal/A Rate / Acre

Table 1.

Table 2.



Table 1.

10-18-4 Liquid Fertilizer

Nutrients Supplied

(pounds per gallon)		
Total Nitrogen (N)	1.06	
Available Phosphate (P2O ⁵)	1.91	
Soluble Potash (K2O)	0.42	

Derived from: ammonium hydroxide, urea, phosphoric acid, potassium acetate, and potassium hydroxide.

Table 2. CropMax

2-0-21B15Cu3 1.5Mn0005Mo-4 Fertilizer Nutrients Supplied (pounds per gallon)	Fe- Zn Liquid
Total Nitrogen (N)	0.204
Soluble Potash (K20)	0.204
Boron (B)	0.010
Copper (Cu) EDTA	0.015
Iron (Fe) EDTA	0.031
Manganese (Mn) EDTA	0.153
Molybdenum (Mo)	0.00005
Zinc (Zn) EDTA	0.409

Derived from: ammonium hydroxide, potassium hydroxide, boric acid, copper EDTA, iron EDTA, manganese EDTA, sodium molybdate, zinc EDTA



Planting Date: 5/10

Hybrid: DKC 63-21SS Population: 36K

Row Width: 30"

Rotation: CAB Corn Price: \$3.50

Fertilizer Pricing: \$30/A DAP Re-Allocation imPulse: \$3.50/Gal

ATS: \$1.76/Gal

CropMax: \$14.55/Gal



Conklin Starter Fertilizer Study

Objective: To evaluate the yield and net return of a blend of Conklin starter fertilizer products. The following products in Table 1. are used in this study at base mix rate of 6 Gal/A. as a single at-plant application applied via FurrowJet[®] and/or Conceal[™]. Rates are then applied at 50%, 100%, 125%, 150%, and 175% of base rate.

Table 1.

Product	Description	Rate/A.	Application
Feast® 2-15-19-3S	100% Orthophosphate Liquid Fertilizer	3-15 Gal	FurrowJet 3-Way
Feast® Micro Master™ Zinc	9% EDTA Zinc 100% Chelated	1pt	FurrowJet 3-Way
Syntose FA™	Sugars, Molasses, and Fulvic Acid	1pt	FurrowJet 3-Way
Intensify®	Gibberellic Acid + Indole-3-butyric Acid	.25oz	FurrowJet 3-Way
Feast [®] Micro	10% Complex Derived from Boric	1pt	Conceal Dual
Master [™] Boron	Acid		Band

Results: All starter treatments realized positive yield gains ranging from +5.9 to +13.5 Bu/A. Agronomic optimum yield occurred at the 12 Gal/A. rate (150%) with 13.5 Bu/A. gains.

In regard to economics, no individual treatment tallied positive net returns as starter losses ranged from -\$5.03 to -\$100.45/A.



Table 2.



Table 3.



Planting Date: 5/10

Hybrid: DKC 63-21SS Population: 36K

Fertilizer Pricing: \$30/A DAP Reallocation Feast 2-15-19-3S: \$6.78/Gal

,....

Row Width: 30" Rotation: CAB

CAB Corn Price: \$3.50

Zinc:\$3.14/Pt Boron:\$2.69/Pt Intensify: \$16/oz Syntose FA::\$1/#


10-34-0 FurrowJet® Study

Objective: To evaluate the yield and net return of 10-34-0 liquid starter fertilizer. Five different rates were used in a tri-band FurrowJet application at planting. 10-34-0 is a 70% polyphosphate formulation of nitrogen and phosphorus.

Results: The three lowest rates of 4-8 gal/A. of 10-34-0 provided yield gains of 0.4 - 3.9 Bu/A. However, the two higher rates at 10 - 12 gal/A. suffered yield losses of -1.5 - 2.2 Bu/A.



Considering return on investment, the economic optimum rate in this study proved to be only 4 gal/A., however only netting an additional \$0.47/A. over the untreated control. All other rates at 6-12 gal/A. proved negative with losses ranging from -\$1.86 - \$38.70/A.





Planting Date: 5/10 Hybrid: DKC 63-21SS Population: 36K Row Width: 30" Rotation: CAB Corn Price: \$3.50

Fertilizer Pricing: 10-34-0 \$2.58/Gal

\$30 DAP Reallocation



Helena Nucleus® O-Phos FurrowJet® Study

Objective: To evaluate the yield and net return of Helena's Nucleus O-PHOS 100% orthophosphate 8-24-0 (Table 1) applied with and without 10-34-0 liquid polyphosphate fertilizer.

Results: All Ortho-Phos treatments proved higher yields ranging from +5.9 to 11.2 Bu/A. (Table 1), with individual O-Phos/Zinc treatments averaging +10.2 Bu/A. yield responses and economic gains +\$1.14 to +6.49/A. (Table 2).

The addition of 10-34-0 did not increase yields from stand-alone O-Phos treatments. In fact, the lack of yield response from 10-34-0 actually caused economic losses ranging from -\$1.66 to -\$12/A. (Table 2).



Derived from: Ammonium phosphate and Iron EDTA

Table 1. Yield Response



Figure 2.



Table 2. Economic Response



37

Corn Price: \$3.50

Helena Nucleus® HP FurrowJet® Study

Objective: To evaluate the yield and net return of Helena's HP 50% orthophosphate/ 50% polyphosphate 8-24-0 applied with Zinc via FurrowJet 3-way band application.

Results: FurrowJet applications of Nucleus HP proved yield gains of +11 to +11.8 Bu/A. (Table 1), however the lower 3 Gal rate was the only treatment that sustained positive yield gains at +\$9.95/A. The higher 6 Gal/A. rate exhibited the highest yield, but after cost of products proved economic losses of -\$2.21/A. (Table 2).

Precision Planting



Derived from urea, phosphoric acid, potassium hydroxide and ammonium polyphosphate.

Table 1. Yield Response





Table 2. Economic Response



 Planting Date: 5/14
 Hybrid: DKC 63-21SS
 Population: 36K
 Row Width: 30"
 Rotation: CAB
 Corn Price: \$3.50

 Fertilizer Pricing: \$30 DAP Re-allocation
 Nucleus HP: \$6.50/Gal
 Trafix Zinc: \$18/Gal



Concept Ag Total-Phos® FurrowJet Trial

Objective:

This FurrowJet application trial evaluates the yield and net return of Concept Ag's soil and nutrition program containing the following products:





Results: All treatments of Concept Ag's soil and nutrition program proved positive yield increases. Rates from 2-6 gal/A. averaged +8.3 Bu/A. Four gallons provided the largest yield increase at +9.5 Bu/A.

With the consideration of return on investment, the economic optimum rate in this study proved to be 3 gal/A., netting an additional \$11.85/A. over the untreated control. Rates at 2 and 4 gal/A. also proved profitable at +\$10.96 and +\$8.11/A. respectively. The higher two rates at 5-6 gal/A. resulted in economic losses of -\$0.40 - \$9.49/A.



Table 2: Economic Return



Planting Date: 5/10

Hybrid: DKC 63-21SS Population: 36K

lation: 36K R

Row Width: 30"

Rotation: CAB Corn Price: \$3.50

Fertilizer Pricing: \$6.25/Gallon Avg. for Total Products \$30 DAP Re-allocation



Figure 1

Grade 4-0-0 **GUARANTEED ANALYSIS**

Derived from: Aqua Ammonia,

Zinc EDDHA and Zinc EDTA.

Levesol[™] Zinc Study

Objective: This FurrowJet[®] application trial evaluates the yield, net return of Levesol Zn. Levesol Zn is a 4-0-0 4.5% Zn chelating agent made from liquid urea nitrogen, and an ortho-ortho Total Nitrogen (N) 4.0% EDDHA chelate (Table 1). The chelating agent makes 4.0% Ammoniacal Nitrogen (N) micronutrients, both in the, soil or a tank mix, soluble for uptake. Zinc (Zn) 4.5% After uptake, the chelating agent increases translocation within 4.5% Chelated Zinc (Zn) the plant and by keeping micronutrients in a soluble form, Levesol prevents them from binding with phosphorous (P). This leaves more phosphorus (P) free for uptake and increases overall nutrient efficiency.

Results: Table 1. illustrates Levesol Zn treatments provided best gains when applied at the higher rates of the Marco LTE 6-20-4. Rates of ten and twelve gallons/A. provided yield gains of 5.9 and 6.3 Bu/A. These higher rates provided a return on investment of +\$5.70 and +\$7.07 respectively. All other rates applied at lower rates of four, six, and eight gal/A. resulted in net losses of -\$10.38 to -\$17.45/A. (Table 2).

All Levesol Zn treatments were applied at 2 Qts/A. and tank-mixed with 4-12 Gal/A. of Marco LTE 6-20-4-2.7S.



Paralign[™] In-Furrow Study

Objective: This FurrowJet[®] application trial evaluates the yield and net return of Paralign, a 5-15-3-.8Zn-.1Fe% starter fertilizer with Levesol, a chelating agent made from liquid urea nitrogen and an ortho-ortho EDDHA chelate (Table 1). This chelating agent makes micronutrients, both in the soil or a tank mix making it soluble for uptake.

Paralign also contains a biological enzyme that aids in overall plant health and stress management.

Results: Table 1. illustrates average yield gains of +7.2 Bu/A. from use rates of 3 Gal/A. of Paralign. However, Table 2 reveals net returns averaging a loss of -\$2.92/A. using \$3.50 corn and \$9.33/Gal. of Paralign.

Figure 1 Grade 5-15-3 GHA 5.0% 2 0% Lirea Nitrogen le Phosphate (P₂O₂), Potash (K₂O) 15.0% Iron (Fe 10% Cheisted Iron (Fe Zinc (Zn) 0.80%) 0.08% Chelaled Zinc (Zn arived from Urea, Anhyo mium phosphate, Mor o), and Zioc EDTA n EDDHA (100% orth CONTAINS NON-PLANT FOOD INGREDIENTS *Enzym Hen 2.000 mU/L*





Paralign: \$9.33/Gal



Manticor[™] LFR[®] Study

Objective: This FurrowJet[®] application trial evaluates the yield and net return of Manticor LFR. This fungicide/insecticide is an in-furrow product for protection against early season corn diseases and below-ground insect pests, like corn root worm, in a liquid-fertilizer-ready (LFR) formulation.

Manticor LFR combines Headline[®] a strobilurin fungicide (0.67#/gal Pyraclostrobin) and Capture[®] LFR[®], a pyrethroid insecticide (1.33#/gal Bifenthrin) (Figure 1). When applied in-furrow on corn, Manticor LFR in-furrow fungicide and insecticide provides control of seedling fungal diseases, such as Rhizoctonia solani, and soil insect pests, such as corn rootworm larvae, wireworm, grubs, seedcorn maggot, cutworm and others that can damage corn seeds and seedlings.

Figure 1	
Active Ingredients: Bifenthrin*	14.4%
Pyraclostrobin: (carbamic acid, [2-[[[1-(4-chlorophenyl)-1 <i>H</i> -pyrazol- 3-yl]oxy]methyl]phenyl]methoxy-, methyl ester)	7.2%
Other Ingredients:	78.4%
Total:	00.0%



Results:

Manticor LFR FurrowJet treatments resulted in positive yield gains of +5.6 Bu/A. with a net return on investment of +\$3.39/A. (Tables 1-2).



Planting Date: 5/10

Hybrid: DKC 63-21SS Population: 36K

K Row Width: 30"

Rotation: CAB Corn Price: \$3.50

Manticor LFR: \$220/Gal



Xanthion[®] In-Furrow Study:

Objective: This FurrowJet® application
trial evaluates the yield and net return
of Xanthion in-furrow fungicide.
Xanthion protects against damaging
corn seedling and root diseases,
including Rhizoctonia, Fusarium, and
Pythium.

Zanthion is a combination of a chemical fungicide and a biofungicide, containing the same active ingredients as in Headline[®] fungicide, and an EPA registered biofungicide, providing

Figure 1.

Active Ingredient*: (Component A)	
Bacillus amyloliquefaciens, strain MBI 600**	6.12%
Other Ingredients:	93.88%
Total: *Contains not less than 2.2 x 10 ¹⁰ viable spores per mL **Formerly named <i>Bacillus subtilis</i> strain MBI 600	100.00%
Active Ingredient*: (Component B) pyraclostrobin: (carbamic acid, [2-[[[1-(4-chlorophenyl])-	
1H-pyrazol-3-yl]oxy]methyl]phenyl]methoxy-, methyl ester)	23.60%
Other Ingredients**:	76.40%

two modes of action to help protect and improve seedling health (Figure 1).

Results: Xanthion FurrowJet treatments offered yield advantages of +3.0 Bu/A., however failed to prove a positive return on investment at -\$0.94/A. (Tables 1-2.)



Table 2.



Planting Date: 5/6 Hybrid: DKC 64-34SS Population: 36K

36K Row Width: 30"

Rotation: CAB Corn Price: \$3.50

Xanthion: \$11.44/A



TopGuard Terra® In-Furrow Study:

Objective: This FurrowJet [®] application trial	Figure 1.		
evaluates the yield and net return of TopGuard Terra, an in-furrow fungicide that	EPA Reg. No. 279-3594	EPA Est. No. 70815-GA-001	
protects against damaging corn seedling and root diseases, including Rhizoctonia, Fusarium, and Pythium.	ACTIVE INGREDIENT: *Flutriafol OTHER INGREDIENTS: TOTAL:	By Wt. 42.0% 	
TopGaurd Terra contains the active	*Contains 4.16 pounds per gallon of the active ingredient flutriafol. Suspension concentrate.		

ingredient Flutriafol, a highly-systemic Group 3 single site mode of action fungicide (Figure 1).

Results: TopGaurd Terra FurrowJet treatments offered yield advantages of +3.2 Bu/A., however failed to prove a positive return on investment at **-\$5.21/A**. (Tables 1-2).



Planting Date: 5/6 Hybrid: DKC 64-34SS Population: 36K Row Width: 30" Rotation: CAB Corn Price: \$3.50

Topgaurd Terra: \$700/Gal



Ethos[™] XB In-Furrow Study:

Objective: This FurrowJet[®] application trial evaluates the yield and net return of Ethos XB, an insecticide/fungicide that combines the active ingredient of Capture[®] LFR® insecticide with a broadspectrum biofungicide. This

Figure 1.	
-----------	--

ACTIVE INGREDIENTS:	By Wt.
Bifenthrin *	15.67%
Bacillus amyloliquefaciens strain D747 **	5.00%
Other Ingredients	79.33%
Total:	100.00%
*Cis isomers 97% minimum, trans isomers 3% maximum ** Contains a minimum of 1x 10 ¹⁰ colony-forming units (cfu) per m uct.	illiliter of prod-
This product contains 1.5 lbs bifenthrin per gallon.	

combination defends against insects pest such as corn rootworms, wireworms, grubs, seed corn maggots, cutworms and common stalk borers and disease defense including Fusarium, Pythium, Rhizoctonia and Phytophthora.

The biofungicide in Ethos XB insecticide/fungicide forms a protective barrier on root surfaces and builds over time as spores germinate and colonize roots and root hairs.

Results: Ethos XB treatments applied through FurrowJet offered positive yield gains of +8.1Bu/A. which resulted in a return on investment of +\$8.03/A. (Tables 1-2).



Ethos XB: \$306/Gal



Capture® LFR® In-Furrow Study:

Objective: This in-furrow FurrowJet [®]	Figure 1.
application trial evaluates the yield	EPA Reg.
and net return of Capture LFR, an in-	Active Ing
furrow liquid insecticide containing	Bifenthri
the active ingredient Bifenthrin	Other Ing
(Figure 1) in a liquid fertilizer ready	*Cis isomers 9
(LFR) formulation.	This product co

EPA Reg. No. 279-3302	EPA Est. 279-NY-1
Active Ingredient:	By Wt.
Bifenthrin*:	
Other Ingredients:	
	100.0%
*Cis isomers 97% minimum, trans isomers 3	% maximum.
This product contains 1.5 pounds active ingr	edient per gallon.

Capture LFR controls seed and seedling pests such as wireworm, corn rootworm, cutworm, grubs, armyworm, seed corn maggot and common stalk borer.

Results: Capture LFR treatments of 4 oz/A. achieved +5.8 Bu/A. yield gains, which corresponded to net returns of +\$11.68/A. Higher rates resulted in minimal yield response and consequently lost -\$17.13/A.



Planting Date: 5/10 Hybrid: DKC 63-21SS Population: 36K Row Width: 30" Rotation: CAB Corn Price: \$3.50

Capture LFR: \$272/Gal



Temitry[™] LFR[®] In-Furrow Study:

Objective: This FurrowJet application	Figure 1.	
trial evaluates the yield and net return of Temitry LFR. This fungicide/insecticide is an in- furrow product for protection	ACTIVE INGREDIENTS: Bifenthrin* Pyraclostrobin: (carbamic acid, [2-[[[1- (4-chlorophenyl]-1H-pyrazol-3-ylloxylmethyl]	By Wt. 14.4%
against early season corn	phenyl]methoxy-, methyl ester)	7.2%
diseases and below-ground insect pests, like corn root worm, in a	Total:	100.0%
inquiuriei (iiizeirieau) (LFR) IOITIUIatioi	I	

Temitry LFR combines Headline® a strobilurin fungicide (0.67#/gal Pyraclostrobin) and Capture[®] LFR[®], a pyrethroid insecticide (1.33#/gal Bifentrin) (Figure 1). When applied in-furrow on corn, Temitry LFR in-furrow fungicide and insecticide provides control of seedling fungal diseases, such as Rhizoctonia solani, and soil insect pests, such as corn rootworm larvae, wireworm, grubs, seedcorn maggot, cutworm and others that can damage corn seeds and seedlings.

Results: Temitry LFR treatments resulted in average yield gains of +4.1 Bu/A., but failed to offer a positive return on investment with losses of -\$1.98/A. (Tables 1-2).



Planting Date: 5/6 Hybrid: DKC 63-21SS Population: 36K Row Width: 30" Rotation: CAB Corn Price: \$3.50





SabrEx[™]/Exellorate[™] In-Furrow Study:

Objective: This FurrowJet® application trial evaluates the yield and net return of SabrEx and Excellorate from Advanced Biological Marketing.

Excellorate is a 2-2-1 liquid blend of glucoheptonate carbohydrates, essential plant nutrients, beneficial enzymes and naturally occurring plant and soil stimulants. It represents a next generation of technology, combining complex carbohydrates, essential growth factors and is formulated to supplement biological activity (Figure 1).

SabrEx is a formulation of two biological Trichoderma fungi strains. Trichoderma colonizes with the plants root system and feeds from the starches and sugars produced by the plant, while exuding beneficial enzymes and proteins

for the host plants use. As a result, the plant produces a larger root system improving its nitrogen and water use efficiency (Figure 2).

Results: Tables 1-2. illustrate SabrEx Corn IF treatments resulted in yield gains of +2.6 Bu/A.. with a return on investment of +\$3.13/A. Excellorate treatments offered gains of +1.4 Bu/A., just breaking even at +\$0.38 Bu/A.

Tank-mixing both products as a combination treatment did offer a synergistic effect by increasing yields to +4.8 Bu/A., while capturing positive net returns of +\$6.43/A.

Figure 1. Excellorate

Guaranteed Analysis:
Total Nitrogen (N)2.0%
Available Phosphate (P2O5)2.0%
Soluble Potash (K2O)1.0%
Boron (B)0.05%
Cobalt (Co)0.002%
Copper (Cu)0.14%
Iron (Fe)0.10%
Manganese (Mn)0.10%
Molybdenum (Mo)0.002%
Zinc (Zn)0.05%

Figure 2. SabrEx Root Innoculant

CONTAINS NON-PLANT FOOD INGREDIENTS **Guaranteed Analysis:**

Active Ingredients: 0.10% - (Total microbial count 8x107 cfu/ml Trichoderma harzianum 4 x 107 cfu/ml and Trichoderma atroviride 4 x 107 cfu/ml Inert Ingredients: 79.9%-water, 20.0%-proprietary liquid



Table 2. 2018 SabrEx Corn IF/Excellorate FurrowJet Study: Yield 178.0 \$610 +\$4.8 Bu/A. 177.0 5 5608 176.0 +2.6 Bu/A. Vestra ≝ 175.0 ¥ 174.0 \$605 +1.4 Bu/A. 8 5604 P 171.0 \$602.82 172.2 Bu/A. teturr 172.0 5602 171.0 \$ 5600 170.0 169.0 \$598 Control SabrEx Corn IF Excellorate Combination Control



Planting Date: 5/6

Treatment

Table 1.

Hybrid: DKC 63-21SS Population: 36K Row Width: 30"

Rotation: CAB Corn Price: \$3.50

SabrEx: \$5 85/A Excellorate: \$1 10/oz



<u>Corn Summary of 2018 FurrowJet® Applications:</u>

Agronomic Optimum			Econom	nic Optii	mum
Product:	Rate/A.	+/- Yield	Rate/A.	Net \$	Classification
Nachurs imPulse®	6gal/A.	+12.1Bu/A.	2gal/A.	+\$18.47	Starter
AgroLiquid	8.5gal/A.	+11.4Bu/A.	5.5gal/A.	+\$12.67	Starter
Concept Ag Total- Phos®	4gal/A.	+9.5Bu/A.	3gal/A.	+\$11.85	Starter/Biological
Capture [®] LFR [®]	4oz/A.	+5.8Bu/A.	4oz/A.	+\$11.68	Insecticide
Marco QuickGrow™ LTE	8gal/A.	+11.1Bu/A.	8gal/A.	+\$11.53	Starter
Helena Nucleus® HP	6gal/A.	+11.8Bu/A.	3gal/A.	+\$9.95	Starter
Ethos™ XB	8.5oz/A.	+8.1Bu/A.	0.5oz/A.	+\$8.03	Insecticide/Fungicide
Levesol [™] Zinc	12gal/A.	+6.3Bu/A.	12gal/A.	+\$7.07	Starter
Helena Nucleus® O- Phos	4gal/A.	+11.2Bu/A.	3gal/A.	+\$6.49	Starter
SabrEx [™] /Excellorate [™]	5oz/A.	+4.8Bu/A.	5oz/A.	+\$6.43	Biological
Manticor [™] LFR®	9.5oz/A.	+5.6Bu/A.	9.5oz/A.	+\$3.39	Fungicide
10-34-0	6gal/A.	+3.9Bu/A.	4gal/A.	+\$0.47	Starter
Xanthion®	7.2oz/A.	+3Bu/A.	7.2oz/A.	-\$0.94	Fungicide
Temitry™ LFR®	9.5oz/A.	+4.1Bu/A.	9.5oz/A.	-\$1.98	Insecticide/Fungicide
Paralign™	3gal/A.	+7.2Bu/A.	3gal/A.	-\$2.92	Starter
Conklin	12gal/A.	+13.5Bu/A.	3gal/A.	-\$5.03	Starter
TopGuard Terra®	3oz/A.	+3.2Bu/A.	3oz/A.	-\$5.21	Fungicide

Planting Date: 5/6

Hybrid: DKC 63-21SS

Population: 36K Row

Row Width: 30"

Rotation: CAB Corn Price: \$3.50

SabrEx: \$5.85/A Excellorate: \$1.10/oz



Fertility Relay and Placement Study:

Objective: This study evaluates the performance of various rates and placements of starter fertilizers on the planter. The initiative of this study concentrates on sequential relay applications to stimulate yield. A relay treatment is a sequential dual product treatment rather than single product application. Treatments in this study consist of the following:

1.	In-Furrow Center Only:	3 Gallons/A. 10-34-0 Applied with FurrowJet® Center	
2.	In-Furrow Wings Only:	5 Gallons/A. Marco LTE 6-20-425Zn-2.7S with FurrowJet Wings	
3.	In-Furrow Center + Wings: 4- 2557n-2 7S	3 Gallons/A. 10-34-0 with FurrowJet Center + 5 Gallons/A Marco LTE 6-20-	
	1.200211 2.7 0	with FurrowJet Wings	
4.	Rate Increase: In-Furrow Center + Wings 425Zn-2.7S	5 Gallons/A. 10-34-0 with FurrowJet Center + 10 Gallons/A Marco LTE 6-20- with FurrowJet Wings	

Results: As we evaluate both single treatments, FurrowJet wing only applications out-yielded FurrowJet center treatments by +5.4 Bu/A. with an average net return advantage of +\$9.52/A.

If we average the single application yields, treatment yields were 266.3 Bu/A. However, when both single treatments were combined as a relay application, yields responded by an additional +9.4 Bu/A. with additional net returns of +\$20.59/A. As rates were increased to 5 gal/A. of 10-34-0 and 10 gal/A. of 6-20-4-.25Zn-2.7S, yields responded by an additional 4.7 Bu/A., but did not prove to achieve higher net returns over the lower rate relay application of 3 gal/A. and 5 gal/A. respectively.



Fertilizer Pricing: 10-34-0 \$2.58/gal Marco LTE 6-20-4-.25Zn-2.7S \$3.40/Gal

FurrowJet® Side-Wall Study

Objective: FurrowJet is a planter fertilizer attachment that enables placement of not only an in-furrow starter fertilizer, but also a tri-band of fertilizer 3/4" on each side of the seed. To achieve this tri-band placement, the wings on FurrowJet angle downward to cut into the sidewall and place fertilizer alongside the seed in a dual-band. By doing this, lifting and fracturing can occur that potentially could remove soil smearing or compaction created by disc openers. Additionally, closing wheel systems following FurrowJet wings have a better opportunity to close the seed trench, remove air pockets, and allow for good seed-to-soil contact.

This study evaluates FurrowJet wings offering the ability to remove side-wall compaction in the seed furrow. For this particular study, no liquid fertilizer was applied through FurrowJet.

Results: Table 3. illustrates that FurrowJet out-yielded the control by +6.6 Bu/A. At a cost of \$300/row for FurrowJet on a 16-row planter and corn prices at \$3.50, return on investment would occur at only 206 acres.

Adding starter fertilizer to this scenario could offer even more yield potential, increase revenue and help lower break-even acres to return on investment.



Figure 1. FurrowJet Planter Attachment



Figure 2: FurrowJet Dual-Band Wings Fracturing Side-Walls





Table 1.

Phosphorus Placement Study

Objective: To evaluate starter fertilizer placement impact of placing ten gallons/A. of 10-34-0 liquid fertilizer in a FurrowJet® tri-band versus a Conceal dual band application.

FurrowJet is a planter fertilizer attachment, which enables you to place not only an in-furrow starter fertilizer, but also a band of fertilizer 3/4" on each side of the seed (Figure 1). Wings on FurrowJet angle downward to cut into the sidewall and place fertilizer alongside the seed in a band. Being near the furrow, this placement gives the seedling and crown roots immediate and continuous access to the starter fertilizer.

Conceal is new unique planter attachment that allows growers to place nitrogen in a high concentration dual or single band positioned 3" away from the seed trench (Figure 2) in depths of 1". Conceal uses existing planter space, utilizing a backswept knife located with-in the center of the planter's gauge wheels (Figure 1) As nitrogen is applied, it is sealed within the soil profile, preventing potential volatilization losses typically seen with surface type nitrogen applications.

Results: Table 1. illustrates FurrowJet placement of 10-34-0 provided net returns of +\$10.55/A., while Conceal placement

just broke-even at +\$1.02/A. This may be the result of applying the phosphorus liquid starter fertilizer closer to the seed and early seedling roots. Since phosphorus is a non-mobile nutrient, Conceal dual band placements may be too far away to achieve maximum early plant uptake compared to in-furrow FurrowJet placement.



Planting Date: 5/16

Hybrid: DKC 47-27RIB

Population: 36K

Row Width: 30" Rotation: CAB

Prices: Corn \$3.50, N \$0.45/#, 10-34-0 \$2.58/Gal



Figure 1. FurrowJet planter fertilizer placement



Figure 2. Conceal Dual band fertilizer





pH Acidity Study: Corn

Objective: To evaluate the long-term yield and economic impact of acidic soil pH in corn.

When the PTI farm was acquired in the fall of 2017, a soil test revealed some major issues with soil pH on a particular area of the east side of the farm. Soil test results indicated average pH values of 5.1, with lows of 4.7 pH. This acidic area offered an opportunity to evaluate the yield response of acidic soils compared to corrected basic or neutral pH soils. Lime was applied in 2017, however plots were left un-limed to represent long-term pH testing.

What is soil pH? The term pH stands for the potential (p) of hydrogen ions (H+) in water, and indicates a measure of the relative acidity or alkalinity of the soil solution. Soil pH is calculated on a 14-point scale, where a value of 7.0 is considered neutral or basic (Figure 2). Lower values on the pH scale denote increasing H+ ions and acidity, while higher values represent increasing hydroxyl (OH-) ions and alkalinity. Because pH is expressed on a logarithmic scale, each change of 1 pH unit actually represents a 10-fold increase in soil acidity or alkalinity.

Figure 3. indicates the influence of soil pH on nutrient availability of various macro and micronutrients.







Figure 2. The pH Scale



Figure 3. Application of Ag Lime Fall 2017



pH Acidity Study Continued:

Results: Table 1. illustrates that in our first year of this soil acidity study in corn, there was no significant yield loss in acidic soils near 5.1 pH. 2018 yield data revealed only a **-1.3 Bu/A.** yield loss in a corn rotation. Being designed as a long-term multi-year study, we will continue this trial over the years to come to monitor yield, nutrient deficiencies, or other stress factors.



Figure 5. Fall 2017 3 Ton/A. Ag Lime Application



Figure 4. Fall 2018 2.5 ton/A. Ag Lime Application



Planting Date: 5/16

Hybrid: Wyffels 7696VT2Pro

Population: 36K R

Row Width: 30"

Rotation: CAC

C Prices: Corn \$3.50



<u>100% Single Application Pre-Emerge Nitrogen Study:</u> <u>Conceal vs. WNF</u>

Objective: To compare 100% single applications of traditional surface applied broadcast Weed-N-Feed (WNF) 32% UAN treatments to Conceal dual and single band at-plant nitrogen applications. Conceal is new unique planter attachment that allows growers to place nitrogen in a high concentration dual or single band positioned 3" away from the seed trench (Figure 2) in depths of 1". Conceal uses existing planter space, utilizing a backswept knife located with-in the center of the planter's gauge wheels (Figure 1). As nitrogen is applied, it is sealed within the soil profile, preventing potential volatilization losses typically seen with surface type nitrogen applications.

Results: Table 1. Illustrates that Conceal dual band applications of nitrogen outyielded traditional WNF applications by +11.7 Bu/A.

Single band conceal treatments performed very similar, yielding within -3.2 Bu/A. of dual Conceal and +8.5 Bu/A. over the WNF.

Reducing WNF treatments to 50% and adding the remaining 50% as a complimenting dual band

Table 1. 2018 Yield Results



Conceal treatment added +9.3 Bu/A. over the stand-alone WNF 100% treatment.





Planting Date: 5/6

Hybrid: DKC 64-34SS

Population: 36K

Row Width: 30"

Rotation: CAB C

Corn Price: \$3.50

Figure 2. Conceal Dual Placement 3" from Seed Trench



Conceal[™] Nitrogen Rate/Placement Study

Objective: This study evaluates the performance of sixteen different nitrogen rate and placement programs. These sixteen programs consist of single one and done nitrogen programs, 2-way split applications, and even 3-way split programs. All treatments are applied using 32% UAN liquid nitrogen. As a baseline, the 50% WNF + 50% V6 Side-Dress (Treatment #5) will be facilitated as the control for this trial.



Table 1.

2018 Nitrogen Mgt. Study: Yield



Planting Date: 5/6

Hybrid: DKC 64-34SS



Nitrogen Management Rate/Placement Study Continued

Results: Table 1. illustrates the overall yield results of all 16 nitrogen programs. Conceal dual band nitrogen programs in general, accounted for all top five treatments in the study. In comparison to the control (50% WNF + 50% Side-Dress), these top five treatments outperformed the control by an average yield of 17.8 Bu/A.

All four single applications of nitrogen (Treatments 1-4), proved the lowest performance in the study tallying in at four of the five bottom rankings. Due to heavy June rainfall events (9" of rain in a ten day timespan), early single nitrogen applications suffered too much N loss. Dual nitrogen programs (Programs 5-14) out-yielded single programs by 17.2 Bu/A., while triple programs (Programs 15-16) out-yielded them by 27.5 Bu/A.



Population: 36K

Row Width: 30"

Rotation: CAB

57

Corn Price: \$3.50



Nitrogen Management Rate/Placement Study Continued:





Table 4. illustrates yield response of Conceal dual band nitrogen treatments compared to the same rates in a WNF surface applied broadcast application. These Conceal treatments achieved an average of +5.8 Bu/A. increased yields along with net returns of an additional \$20.23/A.





Nitrogen Management Rate/Placement Study Continued:

Table 5. illustrates multi-year data from 2017 -2018 and the net return associated with each nitrogen program used over the past two growing seasons. Conceal dual band programs occupy the top six spots of the thirteen total programs, netting additional returns of +\$10.56 to +\$61.68/A. above the control. The triple application of 25% WNF + 25% Conceal dual band + 50% Side-dress took top honors at +\$61.68/A. over the control treatment.

Multi-year single applications reveal net losses of **-\$10.21 to -\$53.92/A.**, with 100% WNF treatments suffering the highest losses.



Table 5.

Figure 1. Weed-N-Feed Application (WNF)



Figure 2. Conceal 3" Dual Band Nitrogen



Figure 3. V6 Side-Dress Application





Nitrogen Sealer Study

Objective: To evaluate the yield and economic impact of implementing nitrogen sealers when side-dressing corn with liquid 28% nitrogen (N). Nitrogen sealers from Nitrogen Sealing Systems in Catlin, IL are a pair of coulters that attach to a sidedress unit behind the knife or high pressure injection nozzle (Figure 1-2). Sealers are designed to lift and redirect soil over top of the injection point of nitrogen, collapsing and sealing the trench, protecting nitrogen that could otherwise volatilize.

Volatilization is a form of N loss that occurs when nitrogen lays on the soil surface without incorporation by tillage or rainfall events. In this event, applied nitrogen converts to ammonia, a gaseous form that can easily escape into the atmosphere. In a side-dress situation, this can occur when nitrogen is applied and not sealed or covered properly. If coulter slots open up or become exposed to sunlight, air, wind, and increased temperatures after application, volatilization can occur.

Results: Tables 1-2. illustrate nitrogen sealers offering yield gains of +5.5 Bu/A., while capturing an additional \$19.12/A. At a cost of \$255/row on a 15-knife side-dress applicator, break-even acres would occur at 200 acres.



Figure 1. Nitrogen Sealers



Figure 2. Sealed row with soil berm





Corn Leaf Orientation Study

Objective: To study corn leaf orientation within the row and to understand the relationship of yield impact of corn leaves being positioned parrallel or perpendicular to the row (Figures 1-2). Correct leaf orientation offers benefits of increased light interception, less sunlight to encourage weed suppression, cooler in-canopy temperatures, and moisture preservation. This study evaluates the differences in corn yield between plants with correct and incorrect leaf orientation.

Results: Table 1. illustrates the results of 140 yield checks at the Precision Technology Insititute in 2018. On average, individual plant yield loss associated with incorrect leaf orientation resulted in -11.7 Bu/A. losses. However, in doing in-

Figure 1. Correct Leaf Orientation



Figure 6. Incorrect Leaf Orientation





field scouting and evaluations, the occurance factor was documented at 25%. As a result, this 25% occurance factor would only equate to -2.9 Bu/A. average yield losses.

Table 1.

Work is being done at the Precision Technology Institute to establish solutions to help eliminate incorrect leaf orientation. Some of this work identifies seed placement in the seed furrow in an effort to manipulate direction of leaf placement. Early studies indicate that incorrect leaf orientation cannot be totally prevented, but trial data does suggest that manually placing seed in certain positions in the trench can improve results by +10%. In general, seed tip directional placement has been seen to improve emergence timing, while embryo directional placement may impact leaf orientation.



Hybrid: Pioneer 0825AMXT Population: 34K Row Width: 20" Rotation: CAC Corn Price: \$3.50



High Yield Irrigation Study

Objective: This study evaluates the use of NutriDrip irrigation and its ability to feed corn with water and nutrients for high yield potential. This method of irrigating a crop uses a NETAFIM[™] drip tape with small pressure regulated emitters evenly spaced at 24" apart. Drip tape in this study is not subsurface irrigation, rather the team at PTI installed this system on the soil surface to demonstrate how the system works and to have mobility with irrigating trials at the PTI farm in the future. Water was accessed from the local Walmart® retention pond and



pumped out through a 2" line and flexnet manifold system.

Results: NutriDrip irrigation resulted in yield gains of 63.5 Bu/A. over the non-irrigated control. This was mainly due to drought conditions that persisted 7 weeks in the last of half of July and thru the month of August. The equivalent of 9.4" inches of rain was applied through drip irrigation. Fertigation was also implemented to apply 60# of additional UAN 32% (\$27.28), 2pts Boron (\$5.38/A.), and 3 gallons of Conklin Feast® 2-15-19-3S (\$20.34/A.). All treatments incurred additional expenses of \$53/A., as well as \$61/A. in pumping costs. NutriDrip irrigation resulted in net economic gains of \$108.31/A.





Planting Date: 5/8

Hybrid: Pioneer 1197AMXT

Population: 36K Row Width: 30"

Rotation: CAB Corn Price: \$3.50



Multi-Genetic Planting Study:

Objective: To analyze the yield and economic benefit of implementing mSet single meter multi-genetic technology to place specific corn hybrids for individual spatial management zones.

mSet is a product that can be added to vSet and vDrive, which couples a seed selector added to the hopper to switch hybrids, and a seed pool level sensor in the meter. The level sensor tells the seed selector when the meter needs more seed, and it drops a dose of seed into the meter. This continually happens until it is time to switch hybrids. At hybrid change, the level sensor will let the seed pool run low, then call for a dose of the other hybrid to enter the meter just in time for the change, leading to a short transition between hybrids. The seed pool is controlled by the mSet selector, providing the correct hybrid in the meter, and allowing the vSet meter to accurately singulate those seeds. The ultimate result is the hybrid you select, planted in the area of the field you select, planted with highest accuracy of singulation. Figure 1. mSet® Multi-Genetic Technology



Figure 2. mSet Seed Selector



Figure 3. Offensive and Defensive Spatial Management Zones



Multi-Genetic Planting Study Continued:

Results: AgriGold 6544VT2 was used as our offensive corn hybrid and 6542VT2 as the defensive hybrid and each genetic package was placed into the appropriate matching spatial management zone (Figure 3). Test blocks were planted to evaluate the yield performance when hybrids were placed correctly, as well as incorrectly.





Figure 5. Yield Results of Defensive Management Zone



Figure 4. illustrates the results of placing an offensive hybrid (Agrigold 6544VT2) in higher productive and higher yielding soils. This placement resulted in yield gains of +19 Bu/A. compared to planting the defensive hybrid (AgriGold 6572VT2) in that management zone. This yield gain corresponded to economic advantages of \$66.50/A.

Figure 5. illustrates the results of placing a defensive hybrid (Agrigold 6572VT2) in the less productive and lower yielding soils. This placement resulted in yield gains of +31 Bu/A. compared to planting the offensive hybrid (AgriGold 6544VT2) in that management zone. This yield gain corresponded to economic advantages of \$108.50/A.

mSet multi-genetic technology averaged yield gains of +25 Bu/A. and +\$87.50/A. in increase revenue. If a grower invested \$1000/row on a 16 row planter for multi-hybrid technology, these type of yield and economic gains would result in return on investment at only 183 acres.

These yield results confirm that if used properly, a multi-genetic system used to place corn hybrids on a spatial management zone basis has the opportunity to offer yield advantages and potentially large economic gains.

Planting Date: 5/2 Hybrid:AgriGold 6442STX/6542STX Population: 34-36K Row Width: 30" Rotation:CAC Corn Price: \$3.50



Ear Flex/Seeding Rate Study:

Objective: This multi-genetic planting study evaluates the impact of corn yield when varying seeding rates of flex and fixed ear type genetics. A fixed ear hybrid makes similar sized ears regardless of plant populations, and generally achieves highest yield performance at higher seeding rates. Flex ear hybrids have the ability to create a longer and/or girthier ear when plant competition is minimized.

Understanding this characterization of specific individual hybrids and knowing how to place them in the correct environment, is critical for maximizing performance in a multi-genetic planting system. This study evaluates Pioneer 0707AMXT as a fixed ear hybrid and Pioneer 0825AMXT as a flex ear hybrid.

Conclusion: Table 1. illustrates that the fixed ear hybrid achieved higher yields at every seeding rate above 28K populations. Optimum agronomic yield occurred at 36K populations, +23.1 Bu/A. better than the 28K rate.

Conversely, Table 2. reveals the flex hybrid did not respond to higher populations and actually offered yield losses at each seeding rate over the lowest 28K population. Average yield losses ranged from -5.7 to -6.9 Bu/A.









Table 3.

Table 3. illustrates the return on investment of both hybrids planted at seeding rates above 28K populations. All economics are based upon \$300/bag seed corn, 80,000 seeds/bag, and \$3.50/Bu. corn commodity price.

The fixed ear hybrid achieved economic

optimum seeding rate at the 36K population. This 36K rate paid positive returns of +\$50.88 over the lowest 28K population. Conversely, the flex ear hybrid did not respond to any of the higher seeding rates and incurred losses of -\$37.83 to -\$69.30/A. as planting poulations were increased over 28K. It is interesting to note that between the two hybrids planted at 36K populations, one offered a positive gain of +\$50/A., while the other proved losses of the exact same amount. This just confirms the financial implications of incorrect placement and seeding rate.

Figure 1. is a picture of both

hybrids at the R2 growth stage. As producers think of changing seeding rates, leaf architechure should also be addressed. Note P0707AMXT showing a more "upright" leaf structure, allowing more sunlight hitting the soil surface. P0825AMXT obtains a much more robust "pendulum" type leaf architechure which offers more shading and less sunlight into the row. As seeding rates are increased or decreased, the type of leaf architechure should be considered agronomically.





Strip-Till Freshener Study

Objective: To evaluate Yetter 2984 strip-till freshener to facilitate consistent soil warming and bring existing strips to life. Original fall strips made in October after harvest were freshened in April before planting (Figure 1).

Features:

Planting Date: 4/25

Hybrid: Pioneer 0825 AMXT

• 3-blade arrangement with rolling basket to condition strips





- Operates at 6 to 10 mph and 1 1/2" to 4" deep, depending on depth setting
- Precision Planting CleanSweep[™] residue managers to clean rows while freshening strips



Results: Spring strip freshening increased yield by an average of 10.3 Bu/A. and resulted in net gains of \$28.05/A. Custom costs of \$8/A. were used for calculating cost of application. In general, strip-freshening created a beautiful seed bed for planting and resulted in some nice yield gains.



Population: 34K

Row Width: 30"

Rotation: CAS

Corn Price: \$3.50



Chopping Corn Head Study

Objective: To study the yield impact of utilizing a chopping corn head in a continuous corn conventional tillage rotation. A Capello Quasar[™] chopping head (Figure 1-2) is used to create replicated strips of chop and non-chop residue management trials. The goal of this trial is evaluate sizing of residue (Figure 3) and allowing heavy stalks and residue to break down faster to advance the degradation process reducing the carbon penalty associated with continuous corn environments.

Results: Table 1. illustrates that chopping corn residue improved corn yields by 11.1 Bu/A. and increased gross revenue by \$38.85/A. at a commodity price of \$3.50/Bu.

Multi-year data from 2017 indicated similar results with chopping advantages of 9.2 Bu/A.









Figure 1.



Figure 2.



Figure 3.

Planting Date: 4/26 Hybrid: DKC 64-34SS Population: 36K

Row Width: 30"

Rotation: CAC

Corn Price: \$3.50

Yetter Devastator[™] Study

Objective: This continuous corn, residue management study evaluates Yetter Manufacturing's 5000 Stalk Devastator. This corn head mounted device saves tires and tracks by knocking over and crushing stalks while leaving them attached, speeding up the cornstalk breakdown process and improving field conditions for spring planting. Features include the following:

- Prevents damage to tires, tracks, wires, and hydraulic • hoses on combines, trucks, tractors, and implements
- Knocks over and crushes stalks for faster • decomposition and microbial breakdown of residue
- Preserves residue cover, reducing soil erosion and • keeping stalks in place in windy conditions

Results: Yetter Devastators provided 7.8 Bu/A. yield increases and a return on investment of +\$27.33/A. and multi-year data from 2017-18 would indicate an average return on investment at +\$24.87/A. At a purchase price of \$5333 for an 8 row Devastator, break-even acres would occur at 215 acres. With corn after corn rotations, residue management needs consideration and tools like this have been advantageous.













Corn Tillage Study

Objective: To evaluate the yield and economic impacts of various tillage programs in a continuous corn rotation. Tillage programs include conventional tillage, strip-till, vertical till, and no-till.

Commentioned	D:	ć	15.40
Conventional	Kipper	\$	15.40
	Soil Finisher	Ş	10.10
	Plant	<u>\$</u>	13.90
		\$	39.40
Strip	Strip	\$	23.20
	Plant	\$	14.00
	Burndown	<u>\$</u>	8.00
		\$	45.20
Vertical	Vertical	\$	12.10
	Burndown	\$	8.00
	Plant	\$	14.00
		\$	34.10
NoTill	Burndown	\$	8.00
	Plant	\$	14.00
		\$	22.00

Table 1. University of IL Machinery Cost Estimates



Figure 4. AGCO Challenger® 1042 planting in No-Till





Figure 1. Sunflower[®] 4630 Disc Ripper



Figure 2. Sunflower® 6833 Vertical Tillage Tool



Figure 3. Case IH Steiger[®] Series pulling Strip-



Continuous Corn Tillage Study Continued:

Results: To understand both yield and economics, the University of Illinois Machinery Cost Estimate Summary is used to calculate individual cost of each tillage program (Table 1). For reduced tillage programs, an \$8/A. burn-down is also included.

Table 2. illustrates overall yield for each tillage segment. Yields varied within only 7.8 Bu/A. between all tillage programs with strip-till offering highest yields at 192.6 Bu/A.

After applying all appropriate costs to each individual tillage segment, Table 3. depicts the economics of each system. All the reduced tillage systems (strip, vertical, and no-till) performed very similar, all within only \$4.10/A. of each other.

However, due to a two-pass system cost and the lowest over-all yields in the study, conventional tillage suffered comparative losses of near \$20/A. This could be a result of the extended dry weather throughout July and August. Reduced tillage segments could have had an advantage due to higher moisture preservation and lower soil temperatures.



Planting Date: 5/5

Hybrid: Wyffels 7696VTPro

Population: 36K Ro

Row Width: 30"

Rotation: CAC (

Corn Price: \$3.50


Finish[™] Line Sweep Study:

Objective: To evaluate the yield and economic impacts of Finish Line[™] Sweeps (Figure 1), a field cultivator sweep that features a knife blade on the underside of the sweep designed to create a narrow slit below operating depth to fracture the soil density layer created by horizontal tillage systems.

Typical field cultivator sweeps lift and till the soil above them, but compact the soil below by creating a soil density layer at the lift point. Soil density is a form of soil compaction that occurs when soil particles press together, reducing pore space between them, consequently creating a greater density.

Compacted soils have a reduced rate of both water infiltration and drainage because large pores more effectively move water downward through the soil than smaller pores. This combination typically results in lower usable plant water and nutrition uptake, due to smaller root size and overall mass (Figure 4).

Figure 1. Finish Line Cultivator Sweep



Figure 2. Traditional Cultivator Sweep



Figure 4. Fendt® 1042 tractor on Sunflower® 6833 Land Finisher







Finish Line Sweep Study Continued:

Results: Finish Line sweeps offered +3.5 Bu/A. yield gains over traditional cultivator sweeps in our first year of testing this product (Table 1). Figure 5 illustrates an example of the advantage in overall corn root mass and size as a result of implementing the Finish Line sweeps.

It gets interesting to evaluate the economics of these sweeps, as the cost is only \$4 over and above standard sweeps. The PTI team installed 45 Finish Line sweeps on a 30' Sunflower land finisher (Figure 5) for a total additional cost of only \$180 over standard sweeps. Total farm size would then determine cost/acre for the sweeps (Table 2). Farm sizes of 500 to 2000 acres would range in cost from only \$0.36/A. to \$0.09/A.

With this low cost/A., net return is then calculated in Table 3. with a positive return on investment of +\$11.92 to +\$12.19/A., dependent on total farm size.



Finish Line[™] Sweeps

Standard

Table 1.







Table 3.



Planting Date: 4/25

Hybrid: Wyffels 7696VTPro

Population: 36K

Row Width: 30" Rotation: CAC

AC Corn Price: \$3.50

Finish Line Sweep: \$4

Yield by Organic Matter Study:

Objective: To evaluate the correlation of corn yield by organic matter (OM) levels, sensed and recorded by SmartFirmer[®].

SmartFirmer is a seed firmer with unprecedented sensing capability that allows farmers the ability to map organic matter on a row-by-row basis. SmartFirmer high definition real-time organic matter sensing can be used to better understand in-field spatial variability. As a result, precise prescriptions for planting population, seed hybrid, and fertility applications can then be developed and implemented by a grower and/or their trusted advisor.

There are numerous benefits to having higher stable organic matter levels for crop production. Table 1. illustrates three categories of benefits published by the Cornell University Department of Crop and Soil Sciences:

Chemical Benefits: **Physical Benefits: Biological Benefits:** Provides food for the living Increases the soil's CEC or its Enhances aggregate stability, improving water infiltration organisms in the soil. ability to hold onto and and soil aeration, reducing supply over time essential nutrients such as calcium, runoff. Enhances soil microbial magnesium and potassium. biodiversity and activity, Improves water-holding which can help in the • Improves the ability of a soil capacity. suppression of diseases and to resist pH change; this is pests. also known as buffering Reduces the stickiness of clay Enhances pore space through capacity. soils making them easier to • till. the actions of soil microorganisms. This helps to Accelerates decomposition of increase infiltration and soil minerals over time, Reduces surface crusting, reduce runoff. making the nutrients in the facilitating seedbed minerals available for plant preparation. uptake.

http://nmsp.cals.cornell.edu/guidelines/factsheets.h

. . .





 Table 1. Benefits of Higher Levels of Organic Matter: Cornell University Department of Crop and Soil Sciences Agronomy Fact Sheet 41

▶ Precision Planting

Figure 2. SmartFirmer Real-Time O.M. Collection

Results: SmartFirmer organic matter was collected at planting

on a farm located in Kankakee County, (east central) Illinois. Data was recorded using a 16-row planter, each row equipped with its own SmartFirmer (Figure 2).

Figure 3 illustrates the organic matter spatial variability, with values having a narrow range from 3.0 to 3.6%. Figure 4. reveals the corresponding yield data from harvest with yields ranging from 130 to 240 Bu/A., indicating a yield swing of 135 Bu/A. across all organic matter spatial management zones.





Figure 3. SmartFirmer At-Plant Real-Time Collected Organic Matter

Figure 4. 2018 Grain Harvest Data





Yield by Organic Matter Study Continued:

Table 2. illustrates the correlation of yield to spatial levels of organic matter. As organic matter levels increased, yield increased with them 90% of the time. Table 3. depicts lowest, average, and highest corn yields by organic matter range levels, also depicting a very strong relationship of organic matter to corn yield.

Table 3. calculates the yield index of organic matter to harvest results. This yield index is calculated by dividing yield in (Bu/A.) by actual organic matter % levels. Overall, average organic matter equaled approximatley 55 Bu/A. corn yield. If we break the data into three tiers (low, average, high), lowest OM levels averaged 47 Bu/A., and highest OM levels resulted in average corn yield of 64 Bu/A. Now that we have access to accurate organic matter collection, it could be interesting over time to evaluate average yield index by organic matter spatial zones to help better understand production zones. This would in turn create valuable attributes for prescriptions such as seeding rate, multi-hybrid planting, and fertility.







Table 4.



Pre-Harvest Yield Estimation Study

Objective: To calculate pre-harvest yield estimations and compare the accuracy levels of ear weight/moisture versus kernels/Bu. formulas.

A common method used to perform pre-harvest yield estimations has been to calculate ear count multiplied by average kernels round, multiplied by average kernels in length, and divided by the number of kernels of a bushel of corn (Figure 1). The problem with this method has been that determining the number of kernels in a bushel of

(Avg. Ear Count * Avg Round * Avg Length) 90,000 kernels/Bu

Figure 1. Kernel Count Calculation Method

corn varies with different genetics due to size and weight of grain. Corn genetics can vary from hybrid to hybrid and even weather can commonly cause inconsistent test weights and kernel depth from location to another.

Another pre-harvest yield estimate method is to calculate ear count multiplied by the actual average weight of the ears (Figure 2). Since a portion of the ear weight is water from the moisture level of the grain, a moisture reading must take place to differentiate the weight of the actual grain. This calculation accounts for the weight of the grain and more closely depicts yield estimation.

Avg Ear Count * Avg Ear Weight lbs. (1.411% * Grain Moisture) + 46.2

Figure 2. Ear Weight/Moisture % Method

Results: 34 corn hybrids evaluated in this study indicate that using the traditional kernel/Bu. method of calculating corn yield at 90,000 kernels, under-estimated yield by an average of -15%. Table 1. Illustrates the wide variance of yield calculation error varying from +0.05% to -0.33%. To correct the error, an average of 76,500 kernels should have been implemented to account for an average accurate yield range depiction.

Conversely, the ear weight and moisture yield estimation did under-estimate actual yield by -8%, but increased the accuracy by 53% versus the kernel/Bu. method. The interesting aspect using this formula is the very tight range of yield error, compared to the wide swings of the alternative method.



2017 resulted in the kernel/Bu. method being in error by -30% using 90,000 kernels/Bu. and the ear weight and moisture method -7%.

Table 2. Yield Calculation using ear weights and moisture

Table 1. Traditional Yield Calculation using 90K

Genetic Test Plot

Objective: To evaluate multiple corn genetics from various seed organizations to monitor yield performance.

	<u>Hybrid</u>	
LG	62C02SS	242.6
LG	5650SS	240.6
W	rffels 7888SS	238.8
Pic	neer 1366AMXT	231.8
Wv	rffels 7578SS	237.5
Wv	ffels 7696VT2P	236.7
Wv	rffels 7976VT2P	236.7
Aa	riGold 642-59STX	235.1
Ch	annel 215-75VT2P	235.0
FS	63-7X1SS	233.1
Ch	annel 213-19VT2P	230.6
He	ftv 6714SS	230.0
Δn	riGold 6499STX	228.6
Str	one 6458SS	220.0
Не	ftv 6104SS	220.0
۸a	ricold 6570STX	223.0
	C 63-2188	222.9
EQ	6469-199	220.0
13	riCold 620 40VT2DIP	220.0
Ay	E6 4200	219.4
	504355	218.9
LG	300035	218.0
510		210.5
DK A m		215.5
Ag	riGold 641-78	214.0
Pic	oneer 0825AMX I	212.7
Ch	annel 210-79DGV12P	210.8
DK	C 64-34SS	210.5
FS	60LX-1SS	209.7
Ch	annel 209-15VT2P	207.5
Ag	riGold 640-7/STX	206.9
Pic	oneer 1017AMXT	204.2
DK	C 60-87SS	203.3
Pic	oneer 1093AMXT	203.1
FS	57ZX-1SS	201.4
Pic	oneer 0707AMXT	193.1
He	fty 6612VT2P	192.5
He	fty 5812VT2P	188.3
Pic	oneer 0157AMXT	176.5





Planting Date: 5/5

Hybrid: Multiple

Population: 36K

Row Width: 30" Ro

Rotation: CAB

Soybean Tillage Study

Objective: To evaluate the yield and economic impacts of various tillage programs in a soybean after corn rotation. Tillage programs include conventional tillage, strip-till, vertical till, and no-till.

	-	
Conventional	Ripper	\$ 15.40
	Soil Finisher	\$ 10.10
	Plant	\$ 13.90
		\$ 39.40
Strip	Strip	\$ 23.20
	Plant	\$ 14.00
	Burndown	\$ 8.00
		\$ 45.20
Vertical	Vertical	\$ 12.10
	Burndown	\$ 8.00
	Plant	\$ 14.00
		\$ 34.10
NoTill	Burndown	\$ 8.00
	Plant	\$ 14.00
		\$ 22.00

Table 1. University of IL Machinery Cost Estimates





Figure 1. Sunflower[®]4630 Disc Ripper



Figure 2. Sunflower® 6833 Vertical Tillage Tool



Figure 4. Challenger® 1042 planting in No-Till



Figure 3. Case IH Steiger® Series with Strip-Till Bar



Soybean Tillage Study Continued:

Results: To understand both yield and economics, the University of Illinois Machinery Cost Estimate Summary is used to calculate individual cost of each tillage program (Table 1). For the three reduced tillage programs, an \$8/A. burn-down is also included.

Table 2. illustrates overall yield for each tillage segment. Yields varied within 3.2 Bu/A. between all tillage programs with conventional till and strip-till offering highest yields at 56.4 and 56.3 Bu/A.

After applying all appropriate costs to each individual tillage segment, Table 3. depicts the economics of each system. Vertical tillage offered the lowest overall returns in the study, with reduced revenue of -\$10.12/A. compared to strip till and -\$18.70/A. to conventional till. No-Till performed very similar with lower returns of -\$3.87/A. and -\$10.12/A. respectively.





Soybean Closing Wheel Study :

Objective: To evaluate the performance of closing wheels in four different tillage systems. Closing wheels are designed to close the seed trench, eliminate sidewall compaction/smearing, remove air pockets, all at the same time achieving good seed-to-soil contact. This study evaluates four distinct types of closing wheel systems in a conventional, strip, vertical, and no-till soybean after corn rotation.



Dual Martin Dimple[™] Spike Closing System:

Advantages:	Pack and seal type system. Moisture saving.	
Disadvantages:	In wet soils, can't shatter side-wall smear	
	Hard to close trench in reduced till or wet soils	



Dual Smooth Rubber Closing System:

Advantages:	Lifts and fractures sidewall compaction/smear
	Center ring acts as depth maintainer

Disadvantages: Potential plugging



Dual Poly Twister[™] Spike Closing System:

Combination of above two systems for variable soils



Single Rubber/Yetter Poly Twister Spike Closing System:

Advantages: Lifts and fractures sidewall compaction/smear Versatile heavy wheel, great for reduced tillage Depth Maintaining

Disadvantages: Extra weight can be aggressive



Conventional Tillage Results:

Conventional tillage consisted of a disc ripper in the fall, followed by a soil finisher in the spring directly before planting. Yields within this tillage system varied only 0.8 Bu/A. over all the four different closing systems (Table 1). In general, conventional tillage offers an easier environment for closing wheels to perform, making selections of closing systems more foregiving.

Strip-Till Results:

Strips were created after harvest with a shank/knife type system directly between the existing corn rows. Each of the other closing systems realized significant yield gains over standard dual rubbers, however Martin dual dimples offered the highest gains by +4.7 Bu/A. (Table 2)

Vertical Tillage Results:

Vertical tillage in the fall after harvest was designed to lightly till, size residue, and anchor a portion of the residue into the upper few inches of soil. Smaller yield gains were noticed in this tillage segment, however "Spike" type systems such as the dual Yetter Twisters and the Martin dual dimples did offer yield gains of 2.6 and 2.3 Bu/A. respectively.











Table 3.



No- Till Results:

Planting directly into the center between last year's corn rows in a no-till environment showed the biggest differences in closing wheel system performance in this study. Table 4. shows that Dual rubber closers suffered losses of -3.7 to -5.1 **Bu/A.** compared to the other systems. Not surprisingly in a no-till system, the more aggressive "spike" type closers such as the dual Yetter Twisters and Martin



dual dimples showed the highest yield gains of +4.2 and +5.1 respectively. At \$8.80 soybeans, these yield gains would equate to additional revenue of \$37 to \$44/A.

Table 5. illustrates the average soybean yields of closing wheel systems over all four tillage environments. Traditional dual rubber closers lost an average of -1.7 to -3.0 Bu/A. compared to all three of the other systems. These average losses tally from -\$15.41 to -\$26.24/A., which helps clarify the significance of having and implementing the correct closing wheel system on the planter.



Planting Date: 5/10

Variety: Pioneer 31A22X

Population: 140K

Row Width: 30"

Rotation: BAC SB Price: \$8.80



Soybean Fertility Study

Objective: To evaluate yield and economic net return of four multi-year long-term fertilizer programs in soybeans. Programs, products, and costs consist of the following:

 100% Dry Fertilizer: 18-46-0 Cost = \$39.78/A. 0-0-60 Cost = \$21.53/A. 	Build-up and Maintenance Program .75#P/Bu at Yield Goal 70 = 115# /A Soil Test P=36#/A, Build to 50 = 70#/A Total 185#/A 1.17#K/Bu at Yield Goal 70 = 135#/A Soil Test K=260#/A, Build to 300 = 70#/A Total 205#/A 0-0-60
2. 0% Fertilizer:	No Fertilizer Applied
3. 100% Liquid Fertilizer: <u>Cost/A.</u>	Agro-Liquid Build-up and Maintainence Program Product Application
\$ 11.60 \$ 22.80 \$ 4.13 \$ 6.00 \$ 8.80 \$ 6.30 \$ 6.30 \$ 8.80 \$ 2.16 \$ 4.50 \$75.08/A .	2 Gal Pro-Germinator® 9-24-3FurrowJet4 Gal Sure-K®2-1-6FurrowJet1 Qt Micro 500™.02B-25Cu-37Fe-1.2Mn-1.8ZnFurrowJet1 Qt C-Tech®Hydrophobic Fulvic AcidFurrowJet2 Gal AccesS®7-0-0-17S-25Fe05Mn05ZnConceal1 Gal Ferti-Rain®12-3-3-1.5S1Fe05Mn1ZnR1 Foliar2 Gal Sure-K®2-1-6R1 Foliar1 pt Boron5% BoronR1 Foliar1 Qt Manganese4% ManganeseR1 Foliar
4. Dry Fertilizer Soil Test Buil Liquid Fertilizer Yield Main	d-up +Soil Test P=36#/A, Build to 50=70#/A 18-46-0tainenceSoil Test K=260#/A, Build to 300=70#/A 0-0-60Agro-Liquid Maintainence Program70#/A 0-0-60
<u>Cost/A.</u>	Product Application
\$ 8.80 \$ 4.13 \$ 6.00 \$ 8.80 \$ 6.30 \$ 8.80 \$ 2.16	2 Gal Sure-K2-1-6FurrowJet1 Qt Micro 500.02B25Cu37Fe-1.2Mn-1.8ZnFurrowJet1 Qt C-TechHydrophobic Fulvic AcidFurrowJet2 Gal accesS7-0-0-17S25Fe05Mn05ZnConceal1 Gal Ferti-Rain12-3-3-1.5S1Fe05Mn1ZnR1 Foliar2 Gal Sure-K2-1-6R1 Foliar1 pt Boron5% BoronR1 Foliar1 Qt Manganese4% ManganeseR1 Foliar
<u>\$ 4.50</u> \$58.19/A	



Soybean Fertility Study Continued:

Results: Year one results were quite surprising as highest soybean yields occurred at 69.8 Bu/A. with the Buildup with Dry+Maintenance with Liquid program (Table 1). However, there was only a 1.3 to 4.0 Bu/A. yield variance between all the four fertility programs.

Applying no fertilizer at all only incurred yield losses of -1.3 Bu/A. compared to the 100% Dry Fertilizer program with DAP and Potash. Therefore, having no real fertility cost, it incurred the highest net return at +\$49.86/A. (Table 2). It is doubtful that over time, this fertility program could be sustainable, but it will be interesting to monitor this continuous zero fertilizer treatment over the next few years, watching soil fertility levels decrease, while still analyzing overall yield performance and economic trends from year to year.

Challenging the status quo allows us to benchmark our current



Table 2.



programs and compare it to potential other programs to evaluate yield performance, efficiencies, and economics. The 100% Dry Fertilizer program for soil test build-up and yield maintenance with DAP and 0-0-60 is traditionally one of the most common programs in the Corn Belt by ag retailers and producers. Using this as our control, allows us to compare other programs to address fertility and compare to typical standards.

All fertilizer applications are intended to be applied each year as part of a multi-year long-term study, with each program spatially being constant in a corn and soybean rotation.

Planting Date: 5/6 Variety: Asgrow 36X6 Population: 140K Row Width: 20" Rotation: BAC SB Price: \$8.80
DAP: \$430/T 0-0-60: \$210/T



pH Acidity Study:

Objective: To evaluate the long-term yield and economic impact of acidic soil pH in soybeans.

When the PTI farm was acquired in the fall of 2017, a soil test revealed some major issues with soil pH on a particular area of the east side of the farm. Soil test results indicated average pH values of 5.1, with lows of 4.7 pH. This acidic area offered an opportunity to evaluate the yield response of acidic soils compared to corrected basic or neutral pH soils. Lime was applied in 2017, however plots were left unlimed to represent long-term pH testing.

What is soil pH? The term pH stands for the potential (p) of hydrogen ions (H+) in water, and indicates a measure of the relative acidity or alkalinity of the soil solution. Soil pH is calculated on a 14-point scale, where a value of 7.0 is considered neutral or basic (Figure 2). Lower values on the pH scale denote increasing H+ ions and acidity, while higher values represent increasing hydroxyl (OH-) ions and alkalinity. Because pH is expressed on a logarithmic scale, each change of 1 pH unit actually represents a 10-fold increase in soil acidity or alkalinity.

Figure 3. indicated the influence of soil pH on nutrient availability of various macro and micronutrients.

Figure 1. 2017 Soil Test pH





Figure 2. The pH Scale





pH Acidity Study Continued:

Results: Table 1. illustrates that in our first year of this soil acidity study in soybeans, there was a significant yield loss in acidic soils near 5.1 pH. 2018 yield data revealed a **-7.5 Bu/A. yield loss** in soybeans. Ag limestone applications from 2017 proved a return on investment of \$5.91/A., indicating that all the limestone was paid for in just year one of the study in soybeans.

Being designed as a long-term multi-year study, we will continue this trial over the years to come to monitor yield, nutrient deficiencies, or other stress factors.



Table 1. Ag Lime Year 1 Yield

Figure 4. Fall 2017 3 Ton/A. Ag Lime Application



Table 2. Ag Lime Year 1 Economics



Planting Date: 5/8

Variety: Pioneer 31A22XR

Population: 140K

Row Width: 30"

Rotation: SAC Prices: Soybeans \$8.80

87



Soybean Planting Date Study

Objective: To evaluate various soybean planting dates throughout the spring planting season to determine optimum planting date. Once optimum yield is discovered, data can then be analyzed to determine the deviation of yield at both early and late planting dates compared to traditional norms.





Figure 1. Soybean Planting Date Trial

Figure 2. Snowfall on March 23rd

Results: With the recent trend of earlier soybean planting dates achieving higher yields, it was our intention to plant as early as possible in this study. Due to abnormally warm and dry conditions in March, our first planting date entry was able to be planted on March 22nd. Ironically, 24hrs after planting, 13" of snow fell at the PTI farm (Figure 2). However, this planting date offered the highest soybean yields at the Precision Technology Institue at 83.8 Bu/A. Table 1. illustrates that as additional planting dates were placed within the study, yields fell at every weekly planting date.

Table 2. reveals the percentage of yield gain or loss from planting dates that deviate from a traditional planting date of May 6th. March 22 yields resulted in highest deviations at +34%, while April dates of 13th and 25th at tallied gains of +12% and +5%.

May 11th, 17th, offered stable deviations of -1% to -2%, while late May 25th plantings netted -12% yield losses.





Table 2.

Planting Date: Varied

Variety: Pioneer 31A22X

Population: 140K Row Width: 30"

Rotation: BAC

SB Price: \$8.80



At-Plant Film Study

Objective: This study evaluates the use of an at-plant 90-day biodegradable film that is designed to create a areenhouse effect to warm soils and preserve moisture. Film was laid directly over top of a planted row and has slits at 3-inch intervals directly above the seed placement. This film traps heat from the sun, raises soil temperatures, thus increasing heat units. At the same time, the film locks moisture underneath it, preserving that water for plant uptake throughout the growing season.

Results: The at-plant biodegradable film worked excellent on our early April 13th planting dates. Still having cold soil temperatures below 50 degrees, the film was utilized to help insulate and warm the soil surface. In fact, after only two hours after planting it was common to see soil temperatures near 7 degrees warmer due to the film's warming effect.

As for yield, the at-plant film increased yield by an average of 3.4 Bu/A., however there was a stark contrast in response to seeding rate. Three seeding rates were replicated and evaluated at 90K, 115K, and 140K populations. The results proved that the higher the seeding rate, the higher the vield response from the at-plant film due to 7 weeks of drought conditions in July and August. The highest seeding rate of 140K offered the highest yield gains of +5.9 Bu/A. with



Figure 1. Norseman Techni-Plant FL Precision Planter



Figure 2. Close-Up View of Film After Planting

Concerning return on investment,

profitability.

Norseman Techni-Plant FL states that the

break-even yield would occur at 11.4 Bu/A.,

indicating that all treatments fell short of

We look forward to testing this interesting

improve corn yields in the future. Special

thanks to Michael Freeman for supplying

the use of the film planter for Precision

Planting agronomic research.

Row Width: 20"

technology and finding ways to protect and

cost for the 90 day biodegradable film is

estimated at \$100/A. With this cost,

additional gross revenue of +\$51.92/A. As seeding rates decreased to 90K and 115K, yield response also decreased to +3.2 and +1.0 Bu/A. respectively, with additional gross returns of +\$28.16 and +\$8.80/A.

Table 1.



Planting Date:Varied

Hybrid:Pioneer 31A22X Population: 130K

Rotation:BAC SB Price: \$8.80

Starter Fertilizer Response by Planting Date:

Objective: To monitor the performance of starter fertilizer at various planting dates. Does starter fertilizer pay in soybeans? If so, when does starter fertilizer give the highest returns? Does starter fertilizer respond differently at earlier planted dates versus later? In this study we evaluate five planting dates consisting of April 13th, April 25th, May 6th, May 11th, and May 17th and how a Marco fertilizer 6-20-4-.25Z-2.7S applied via FurrowJet wings at 5 Gal/A. responds throughout the planting season window.



Table 1.

Conclusion: Starter fertilizer did not impact soybean yields, in fact response in yield was less than 2 Bu/A. in every planting date treatment (Table 2). Consequently, starter fertilizer treatment resulted in net losses of -\$2.56 to -\$13.56/A.

More research needs to be done to fully analyze the benefits of starter fertilizers in soybean and the response by soybean planting date.









SoyShot[™] FurrowJet[®] Trial

Objective: This FurrowJet application trial evaluates the yield and net return of in-furrow treatments of SoyShot. This liquid fertilizer is a 0-10-10-0.1Zn that contains an ortho EDDHA chelating agent Levesol, which aids to increase the availability of phosphorus and micronutrients in the soil.

Grade 0-10-10 GUARANTEED ANALYSIS

Available Phosphate (P ₂ O ₅ ,	10%
Soluble Potash (K,0)	10%
Zinc (Zn)	0.1%
0.1% Chelated Zinc (Zn)	

Derived from: Phosphoric acid, potassium hydroxide, zinc ortho-ortho EDDHA and zinc EDTA.

Results: SoyShot treatments were applied at 1-2 Gal/A. as a FurrowJet center in-furrow treatment and resulted in average gains of 2.6 Bu/A. over the untreated control (Table 1). These gains translated to average net returns of +\$11.92/A. (Table 2).







Planting Date:May 6

Hybrid:Asgrow 36X6 Population: 130K

ion: 130K Row Width: 30"

Rotation:BAC SB Price: \$8.80

SoyShot: \$7.50/Gal



NutriStart[™] 7-22-5-.25Zn FurrowJet[®] Trial

Objective: This soybean FurrowJet application trial evaluates the yield and net return of infurrow treatments of Marco Fertilizer Nutristart 7-22-5-.25Zn. This liquid fertilizer is a 70% polyphosphate and 30% orthophosphate formulation.

Results: 7-22-5-.25Zn treatments were applied at 5 and 8 Gal/A. as a FurrowJet wing treatments only. 8 Gal/A. treatments proved yield advantages of +5.5 Bu/A. with a return on investment of +\$26.18/A. (Table 1-2)



5 Gal/A. rates reduced yield gains by 3 Bu/A. compared to the higher 8 Gal/A. rate, however still offered a net return on investment of +\$8.18/A.







7-22-5-.25Zn: \$2.80/Gal

3-18-18 FurrowJet® Trial

Objective: This soybean FurrowJet application trial evaluates the yield and net return of in-furrow treatments of 3-18-18 at 5, 8, and 10 Gal/A. This liquid fertilizer is a 100% orthophosphate formulation that is immediately available for plant absorption and metabolism.



Figure 1.

3-18-18 Liquid Fertilizer

Nutrients Supplied (pounds per gallon)		
Total Nitrogen (N)	0.35	
Available Phosphate (P2O ⁵)	2.11	
Soluble Potash (K2O)	2.11	

Results: 10 Gal/A. rates offered the highest yield at 56.0 Bu/A., however none of the individual treatments of 3-18-

18 achieved positive net return on investment over and above the untreated control.





3-18-18: \$4.20/Gal

Table 1.

Nachurs Soybean Fertilizer Study

Objective: To evaluate the yield and net return of Nachur's at-plant and foliar liquid fertilizer and micronutrient products in soybeans.

The products used, rates, and application timings are as follows:

Product	<u>Timing</u>	Rate/A.
playmaKer® 2-6-16	At-Plant FurrowJet	2 Gal/A.
CropMax®	At-Plant FurrowJet	1 Qt/A.
Triple Option® 4-13-17- 1S	Foliar V3	1 Gal/A.
Rhizo-Link® 3-10-131Z	Foliar V3	1 Qt/A.

Results: Table 1. illustrates that in-furrow and foliar treatments increased soybean yield by +6.2 Bu/A. on average across all replications. At prices listed below, this yield increase translates into a positive return on investment of \$33.76/A..

2018 Nachurs Soybean Fertilizer Study 70 65 61.4 Bu/A. 60 55.2 Bu/A. /ield / Acre 55 +6.2 50 Bu/A. 45 40 35 30 Control Treated



Figure 1. playmaKer

2-6-16 Liquid Fertilizer

Nutrients Supplied

pounds per gallon)		
Total Nitrogen (N)	0.21	
Available Phosphate (P2O5)	0.62	
Soluble Potash (K2O)	1.68	
F' 0.0.		

Figure 2. Cropmax

2-0-2-.1B-.15Cu-.3Fe-1.5Mn-.0005Mo-4Zn Liquid Fertilizer

Nutrients Supplied

(pounds per gallon)	
Total Nitrogen (N)	0.204
Soluble Potash (K20)	0.204
Boron (B)	0.010
Copper (Cu) EDTA	0.015
Iron (Fe) EDTA	0.031
Manganese (Mn) EDTA	0.153
Molybdenum (Mo)	0.00005
Zinc (Zn) EDTA	0.409

Figure 3. Triple Option

4-13-17-15 Liquid Fertilizer Nutrients Supplied

(pounds per gallon)		
Total Nitrogen (N)	0.45	
Available Phosphate (P2O5)	1.46	
Soluble Potash (K2O)	1.91	
Sulfur(S)	0.11	

Figure 4. Rhyzo-Link

3-10-13-1S-.1Zn Liquid Fertilizer

Nutrients Supplied (pounds per gallon) Total Nitrogen (N) 0.32 Available Phosphate (P2O5) 1.07

	Available Phosphate (P2O5)	1.07
	Soluble Potash (K2O)	1.39
	Sulfur (S)	0.107
	Zinc (Zn)	0.011

Planting Date: 5/8	Variety: Asgrow 36X6	XR Population: 130K	Row Width: 30"	Rotation: BAC	Soybean Price: \$8.80	
Fertilizer Pricing: playmaKer \$4.90/gal Crop		CropMax: \$14.55/gal	Triple Option: \$4.90/Gal		Rhizo-Link: \$9.95/Gal	



Conceal[™] 14-12-4-6S Study:

Objective: This soybean application trial evaluates the yield and net return of Conceal dual band treatments of 12-12-4-6S at 10, 15, 20, and 30 Gal/A. This liquid fertilizer is a 70% polyphosphate and 30% orthophosphate formulation designed for non-infurrow applications. Conceal is an ideal placement for this product as it's far enough away from the seed furrow to prevent seed injury, but yet close enough to enable access to seedling nutrition.

Results: Tables 1-2. illustrate that 10 gallon rates of 14-12-4-6S offered both agronomic and economic optimum rate per acre. This rate garnered +4.9 Bu/A. yield increases with a return on investment of +\$25.20/A. Fifteen gallon rates responded similarly with yield gains of +4.6 Bu/A. and positive return on investment of +\$13.33/A.

The higher 20 and 30 gallon rates all saw positive yield gains over the control, however a negative return on investment was observed at -\$15.08 to -\$38.46/A. respectively.



Figure 2. Conceal Dual Placement 3" from





Planting Date:May 14

Hybrid:Asgrow 36X6 Population: 130K

Chopping Head Study: Soybeans

Objective: To study the yield impact of utilizing a chopping corn head in no-till soybeans. A Capello Quasar[™] chopping head (Figure 1-2) is used to create replicated strips of chop and nonchop residue management trials. The goal of this trial is evaluate sizing of residue (Figure 3) and allowing heavy stalks and residue to break down faster to advance the degradation process.

Results: Chopping corn residue improved soybean yields by 3.3 Bu/A. and increased gross revenue by \$29.02/A. (Table 1). If this yield gain was sustainable each crop year, Table 2. illustrates the potential additional revenue generated annually based upon acreage size. This revenue could be appropriated to account for cost of equipment (purchase or maintenance) to properly size residue.

Figure 1.

Figure 2.

Figure 3.

Rotation: SAC

Table 1. 2018 Yield Results







Planting Date: 5/7

Variety: Asgrow 36X6XR Population: 140K Row Width: 30"

Soybean Price: \$8.80









Wrap Up:

Precision Planting is excited to share our inaugural PTI Pontiac research farm results and findings. We know the results will provide useful insights that help drive thoughtful consideration around future crop management. The PTI Pontiac research farm is working diligently to continue with long-term studies that provide multi-year data analysis for decision-making purposes. We will continue to work with our Precision Planting premier dealers to identify opportunities to find new research objectives, driving innovation and development of new solutions in the field. Precision Planting continues to find new ways to provide commitment to the development of innovations and insights that allow for the highest yield and ROI opportunities for your farm and family.

One of our goals at the PTI Farm is to continue to bring new, fresh, and unique ideas, so that when growers visit the farm they see and experience new technology. "Challenging the Status Quo" is important concept to us and we always want to offer the opportunity for growers to experience, compare, and challenge their traditional ways of farming to other means. We all know that change is inevitable, but knowing what and when to change is critical to a business. At the PTI farm, we are excited about all of the agronomic trials slated for 2019, but we are also proud to announce some major renovation, conservation, and state of the art agronomy implemented at the farm for this next summer. You will not want to miss our upcoming field days and we look forward to seeing you throughout July-September at the Precision Planting Precision Technology Institute at Pontiac, IL.

Precision Planting would like to extend our sincere gratitude to the support and dedication of our Precision Planting Premier Dealers. A Precision Planting Premier Dealer is a world-class certified precision agriculture expert, with rigorous training and knowledge of the industry and issues facing farmers today. Our Premier Dealers are experienced professionals helping you know and yield more

The ability to provide unbiased and objective insights into the agronomic research is important to us and we appreciate all Premier Dealers who scheduled and invited growers to the farm in 2018. If you are interested in visiting the PTI Farm in 2019, please contact a Precision Planting Premier Dealer to schedule your visit to the PTI Farm.

Find the Precision Planting Premier Dealer nearest you at planterexpert.com





Acknowledgements and Legal Statement:

vSet®, SmartFirmer®, Keeton[™], CleanSweep®, SpeedTube®, DeltaForce®, vDrive®, FurrowJet®, Conceal[™], mSet® are all trademarks of Precision Planting, LLC, Twister Closing Wheel[™], Yetter Devastator[™] are trademarks of Yetter Farm Equipment, Dimple Closing Wheel[™] is a trademark of Martin Industries LLC, Stimulate® is a registered trademark of Stoller USA Inc, InVigoron[™] is a trademark of Growmark Inc, NACHURS Rhyzo-Link®, imPulse®, Cropmax®, playmaKer®, Triple Option® are all registered trademarks of Nachurs Alpine Solutions, PowerBlend[™] is a trademark of Nachurs Alpine Solutions, QuickGrow[™] LTE, NutriStart[™] are trademarks of Marco N.P.K., INC, Pro-Germinator®, C-Tech®, accesS®, fertiRain®, Sure-K® are all registered trademarks of AgroLiquid LLC, Kalibrate[™], Micro 500[™] are trademarks of AgroLiquid LLC, Nucleus® O-PHOS, Nucleus®HP are registered trademarks of Helena Agri-Enterprises, LLC, Total-Phos® is a registered trademark of Concept Agri-Tek, LLC, Levesol[™], Paralign[™], SoyShot[™] are all trademarks of West Central Inc, Manticor[™] is a trademark of BASF Agriculture, Headline®, Xanthion® are registered trademarks of BASF Agriculture, Capture® LFR®, TopGuard Terra®, Ethos[™] XB are all registered trademarks of FMC Agricultural Solutions, Temitry[™] LFR® is a trademark of FMC Agricultural Solutions Inc, SabrEx[™], Exellorate[™] are trademarks of Advanced Biological Marketing, LLC, NETAFIM[™] Drip Tape is a trademark of Netafim LLC, Sunflower®, Fendt®, Challenger® is a registered trademark of AGCO® Corporation, Steiger® Series is a registered trademark of CNH Corporation, Quasar[™] is a trademark of Capello Inc., Finish[™] Line is a registered trademark of Albert and Kimberly Hemerson, Techni-Plant FL is patent pending via Norseman, Nitrogen Sealers by Jon Miller, Nitrogen Sealing Systems, NutriDrip System by Kurt Grimm, Yetter 2984 Strip-Till freshener by Yetter Farm Equipment,

LG® Seeds is a registered trademark of AgReliant Genetics, LLC, Wyffels® Hybrids is a registered trademark of Wyffels Hybrids Inc, Pioneer[™] is a trademark of DowDuPont Corp., AgriGold® is a registered trademark of AgReliant Genetics, LLC, Channel® seed corn is a registered trademark of Bayer Corp., InVision[™] seed corn is a trademark of Growmark Inc, Hefty® Seeds is registered trademark of Hefty Seeds Inc, Stone Seed[™] is a trademark of Bayer Corp., Dekalb® seed corn is a registered trademark of Bayer Corp.,

Walmart® is a registered trademark of Walmart Inc.

The University of Illinois Machinery Cost Estimates provided by The University of Illinois Farm Business Management Handbook.

Benefits of Higher Levels of Organic Matter Table provided by Cornell University Department of Crop and Soil Sciences Agronomy Fact Sheet 41.